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## Python Review: Styles

- do not write ...


## when you can write ...

| for key in d.keys() : | for key in d: |
| :---: | :---: |
| if d.has_key(key) : | if key in d: |
|  | for $i, x$ in enumerate(a): |
| a[0:len(a) - i ] | a[:-i] |
| ```for line in \ sys.stdin.readlines():``` | for line in sys.stdin: |
| for $x$ in $a$ : <br> print $x$, print | print " ".join(map(str, a)) |
| ```s = "" for i in range(lev): print s``` | print " " * lev |

## Noisy-Channel Model

$$
\text { WFSA } \rightarrow t \cdots t \rightarrow W F S T \longrightarrow w \cdots w
$$

## Noisy-Channel Model



## Applications of Noisy-Channel



|  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{2 \rightarrow \sim}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Application | Input | Output | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{i})$ | p(o\|i) |
| Machine <br> Translation | $L_{1}$ word sequences | $L_{2}$ word sequences | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p}\left(L_{1}\right) \text { in a } \\ & \text { language model } \end{aligned}$ | translation model |
| Optical Character <br> Recognition (OCR) | actual text | text with mistakes | prob of language text | model of OCR errors |
| Part Of Speech (POS) tagging | POS tag sequences | English words | prob of POS sequences | $\mathrm{p}(w \mid t)$ |
| Speech recognition | word sequences | speech <br> signal | prob of word sequences | acoustic model |
| elling correction | orrect text | text with mistakes | prob. of language text | noisy spelling |

## Noisy Channel Examples



Th qck brwn fx jmps vr th lzy dg. Ths sntnc hs II twnty sx Ittrs $n$th lphbt.

I cnduo't bvleiee taht I culod aulaclty uesdtannrd waht I was rdnaieg. Unisg the icndeblire pweor of the hmuan mnid, aocdcrnig to rseecrah at Cmabrigde Uinervtisy, it dseno't mttaer in waht oderr the Iterets in a wrod are, the olny irpoamtnt tihng is taht the frsit and Isat Itteer be in the rhgit pclae.

Therestcanbeatotalmessandyoucanstillreaditwi thoutaproblem.Thisisbecausethehumanminddo esnotreadeveryletterbyitself,butthewordasawh ole.
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## Noisy Channel Examples



## Language Model for Generation

- search suggestions



## Language Models

- problem: what is $\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{w})=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1} \mathrm{w}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$.
- ranking: $\mathrm{P}($ an apple $)>\mathrm{P}($ a apple $)=0, \mathrm{P}($ he often swim $)=0$
- prediction: what's the next word? use $P\left(w_{n} \mid w_{1} \ldots w_{n-1}\right)$
- Obama gave a speech about
- $P\left(w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{n}\right)=P\left(w_{1}\right) P\left(w_{2} \mid w_{1}\right) \ldots P\left(w_{n} \mid w_{1} \ldots w_{n-1}\right)$
- $\approx P\left(w_{1}\right) P\left(w_{2} \mid w_{1}\right) P\left(w_{3} \mid w_{1} w_{2}\right) \ldots P\left(w_{n} \mid w_{n-2} w_{n-1}\right)$ trigram
- $\approx P\left(w_{1}\right) P\left(w_{2} \mid w_{1}\right) P\left(w_{3} \mid w_{2}\right) \quad \ldots P\left(w_{n} \mid w_{n-1}\right) \quad$ bigram
- $\approx P\left(w_{1}\right) P\left(w_{2}\right)$

P( $w_{3}$ )
... $P\left(w_{n}\right)$
unigram

- $\approx \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{w})$

P(w)
... $P(w)$
0-gram

## Estimating n-gram Models

"In pesen she was inferecer to both sisters"

| O-grom | $10^{-6}$ | $10^{-6}$ | $10^{66}$ | $10^{-6}$ | $10^{-6}$ | $10^{-6}$ | $=10^{-36}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unigram | . 011 | . 015 | . 00005 | . 032 | . 0005 | . 0003 | $=4 \times 10^{-17}$ |
| bigrem | . 009 | . 122 | 0 | . 212 | . 0004 | . 006 | : |
| trigram | ? | . 5 | 0 | ? | - | 0 | - |
| 4 -gram | ? | ? | $\bigcirc$ | ? | ? | ? | = |

- maximum likelihood: $p_{M L}(x)=c(x) / N ; \quad p_{M L}(x y)=c(x y) / c(x)$
- problem: unknown words/sequences (unobserved events)
- sparse data problem
- solution: smoothing


## Smoothing

- have to give some probability mass to unseen events
- (by discounting from seen events)
- QI: how to divide this wedge up?
- Q2: how to squeeze it into the pie?

new wedge (one they slice for each. character sequence of length $<20$ that was never observed in training data.)


## ML, MAP, and smoothing

- simple question: what's $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})$ if you see H H H H ?
- always maximize posterior: what's the best $m$ given $d$ ?
- with uniform prior, same as likelihood (explains data)
- $\operatorname{argmax}_{m} p(m \mid d)=\operatorname{argmax}_{m} p(m) p(d \mid m) \quad$ bayes, and $p(d)=1$

$$
=\operatorname{argmax}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~d} \mid \mathrm{m})
$$

when $p(m)$ uniform
suppose $d=H H T H$
$m_{1}$ cols is unbiased $P(d / m)=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}=0.066$
$m_{2}$ coin is biased
So that $P(H)=3 / 4$ $P(d \mid \mu)=\frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{3}{4}=0.105$
$m_{3} \quad$ comm is biased so that $P(H)=9 / 10 \quad P(d \mid m)=\frac{9}{10} \cdot \frac{9}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{9}{10}=0.073$


## ML, MAP, and smoothing

$\left.\begin{array}{lll}m_{1} \text { coin is unbicsed } & P(m)=0.90 \\ m_{2} \text { coin is bicsed } 3 / 4 & P(m)=0.01 \\ m_{3} \text { coin is biased } 1 / 4 & P(m)=0.01\end{array}\right\}$ just "made up" !

- what if we have arbitrary prior
- like $p(\theta)=\theta(I-\theta)$
- maximum a posteriori estimation (MAP)

- MAP approaches MLE with infinite
- MAP = MLE + smoothing
$P(m) \cdot P(d \mid m)$
- this prior is just "extra two tosses, unbiased"

- you can inject other priors, like "extra 4 tosses, 3 Hs "


## Smoothing: Add One (Laplace)


new wedge (one they slice for each chermeter sequence of length $<20$ that was never observed in training data)

- MAP: add a "pseudocount" of I to every word in Vocab
- $\mathrm{P}_{\text {lap }}(\mathrm{x})=(\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{I}) /(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{V})$

V is Vocabulary size

- $\mathrm{P}_{\text {lap }}($ un $)=I /(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{V})$
same probability for all unks
- how much prob. mass for unks in the above diagram?
- e.g., $\mathrm{N}=10^{6}$ words, $\mathrm{V}=26^{20}, \mathrm{~V}_{\text {obs }}=10^{5}, \mathrm{~V}_{\text {lunk }}=26^{20}-10^{5}$


## Smoothing: Add Less than One


new wedge (one thay slica for each charmeter seguence of longth $<20$ that was never observed in training data)

- add one gives too much weight on unseen words!
- solution: add less than one (Lidstone) to each word in V
- $P_{\text {lid }}(x)=(c(x)+\lambda) /(N+\lambda V)$ $0<\lambda<1$ is a parameter
- $P_{\text {lid }}($ unk $)=\lambda /(N+\lambda V) \quad$ still same for
Q: how to tune this $\lambda$ ? on held-out data!


## Smoothing:Witten-Bell

- key idea: use one-count things to guess for zero-counts - recurring idea for unknown events, also for Good-Turing
- prob. mass for unseen: $\mathrm{T} /(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{T}) \quad \mathrm{T}$ : \# of seen types
- 2 kinds of events: one for each token, one for each type
- = MLE of seeing a new type ( T among $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{T}$ are new
- divide this mass evenly among $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{T}$ unknown words
- $\operatorname{Pwb}(x)=T /(V-T)(N+T)$ $=c(x) /(N+T)$
unknown word known word
- bigram case more involved; see J\&M Chapter for details


## Smoothing: Good-Turing

- again, one-count words in training ~ unseen in test
- let $N_{c}=\#$ of words with frequency $r$ in training
- $P_{\mathrm{Gt}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{c}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}) / \mathrm{N}$ where $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})=(\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{I}} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{x})}$
- total adjusted mass is $\operatorname{sum}_{c} c^{\prime} N_{c}=\operatorname{sum}_{c}(c+1) N_{c+1} / N$
- remaining mass: $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}$ / N : split evenly among unks ExAmple:

| $\frac{r}{0}$ | $\frac{N_{r}}{1000}$ | $\frac{N_{r+1}}{100}$ | $\frac{r^{*}}{-}$ | $\frac{r^{*} / N}{1-z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 100 | 40 | 0.8 | Sums |
| 2 | 40 | 20 | 1.5 | to |
| 3 | 20 | 10 | 2.0 | $z$ |
| 4 | 10 | 6 | 3.0 |  |
| 5 | 6 | 3 | 3.0 | $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |



## Smoothing: Good-Turing

- from Church and Gale (1991). bigram LMs. unigram vocab size $=4 \times 10^{5}$. $T_{r}$ is the frequencies in the held-out data (see fempirical).

| $r=\mathrm{f}_{\text {MLE }}$ | $f_{\text {empirical }}$ | $f_{\text {Lap }}$ | $f_{\text {del }}$ | $f_{\mathrm{GT}}$ | $N_{r}$ | $T_{r}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.000027 | 0.000137 | 0.000037 | 0.000027 | 74671100000 | 2019187 |
| 1 | 0.448 | 0.000274 | 0.396 | 0.446 | 2018046 | 903206 |
| 2 | 1.25 | 0.000411 | 1.24 | 1.26 | 449721 | 564153 |
| 3 | 2.24 | 0.000548 | 2.23 | 2.24 | 188933 | 424015 |
| 4 | 3.23 | 0.000685 | 3.22 | 3.24 | 105668 | 341099 |
| 5 | 4.21 | 0.000822 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 68379 | 287776 |
| 6 | 5.23 | 0.000959 | 5.20 | 5.19 | 48190 | 251951 |
| 7 | 6.21 | 0.00109 | 6.21 | 6.21 | 35709 | 221693 |
| 8 | 7.21 | 0.00123 | 7.18 | 7.24 | 27710 | 199779 |
| 9 | 8.26 | 0.00137 | 8.18 | 8.25 | 22280 | 183971 |

## Smoothing: Good-Turing

- Good-Turing is much better than add (less than) one
- problem I: $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cmax}+\mathrm{I}}=0$, so c'max $=0$
- solution: only adjust counts for those less than $k$ (e.g., 5)
- problem 2: what if $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=0$ for some middle c ?
- solution: smooth $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ itself
smooth $N_{r}$ itself, e.g.:
$\mathrm{N}_{r}$ The curve $\left(N_{r}=a r^{b}\right.$ ) gives better $N_{r}$



## Smoothing: Backoff

$$
\hat{p}\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-2} w_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}\tilde{p}\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-2} w_{i-1}\right), & \text { if } C\left(w_{i-2} w_{i-1} w_{i}\right)>0 \\ \alpha_{1} p\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right), & \text { if } C\left(w_{i-2} w_{i-1} w_{i}\right)=0 \\ & \text { and } C\left(w_{i-1} w_{i}\right)>0 \\ \alpha_{2} p\left(w_{i}\right), & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

## Smoothing: Interpolation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{p}\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-2} w_{i-1}\right)= & \lambda_{1} p\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-2} w_{i-1}\right) \\
& +\lambda_{2} p\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right) \\
& +\lambda_{3} p\left(w_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

subject to the constraint that $\sum_{j} \lambda_{j}=1$

## Entropy and Perplexity

- classical entropy (uncertainty): $H(X)=-s u m p(x) \log p(x)$
- how many "bits" (on average) for encoding
- sequence entropy (distribution over sequences):
- $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{L})=\lim \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{nH}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1} . . \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$

Q: why I/n?
$=\lim \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{n}$ sum_\{win L$\} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \log \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$

- Shannon-McMillan-Breiman theorem:
- $H(L)=\lim -I / n \log P\left(w_{1} \ldots w_{n}\right) \quad$ don't need all $w$ in $L!$
- if $w$ is long enough, just take $-1 / n \log p(w)$ is enough!
- perplexity is $2^{\wedge}\{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{L})\}$


## Perplexity of English

- on I. 5 million WSJ test set:
- unigram: 962
- bigram: 170
- trigram: 109
9.9 bits
7.4 bits
6.8 bits
- higher-order n-grams generally has lower perplexity
- but higher than trigram is not that significant
- what about human??


## Shannon Game

- guess the next letter; compute entropy (bits per char)
- 0-gram: 4.76, I-gram: 4.03, 2-gram: 3.32, 3-gram: 3.I
- native speaker:~I.I (0.6~I.3); me:~2.3

```
SINCE THE LESSONS ARE FREE IF K 10101111311114232212121119631115121 NITTING DOESNT APPEAL TO YOU TH 22621111172112111524111131111113111121 EN YOU MIGHT WANT TO LEARN TO W 3111411161111111111111111314191120218 ATERSKI
1212512
```

```
ASON THAT I MANAGED
11112111112252421131
HE ACCIDENT WITHOUT
13156111311113511211
S THAT I SPENT YEAR
11111111111318111125211
j A TOLERANCE FOR BL
162241822112111111111114
HEAD
111
```

