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Approaches to Animation

1. Motion Capture (“MoCap”)

2. Using the laws of physics (we’ll use this in the spring-based motion)

3. Using functional (target-driven) animation (we’ll use this in collision avoidance)

4. Using keyframing
Keyframing involves creating certain key positions for the objects in the scene, and then the program later interpolating the animation frames *in between* the key frames.

In hand-drawn animation, the key frames were developed by the senior animators, and the in-between frames were developed by the junior animators.

In our case, you are going to be the senior animator, and the computer will do the in-betweening.

But, first we need to look into the mathematics of smooth curves . . .
Bézier Curves: the Derivation

One parametric line:

\[ P_{01} = (1 - t)P_0 + tP_1 \]

Note: we are not actually going to use Bézier curves for the animation, but they are a good place to start to understand how smooth curves work.
Bézier Curves: the Derivation

Two parametric lines:

\[ P_{01} = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1 \]
\[ P_{12} = (1-t)P_1 + tP_2 \]
Bézier Curves: the Derivation

Two parametric lines, blended:

\[ P_{01} = (1 - t)P_0 + tP_1 \]
\[ P_{12} = (1 - t)P_1 + tP_2 \]

\[ P_{012} = (1 - t)P_{01} + tP_{12} = (1 - t)^2 P_0 + 2t(1 - t)P_1 + t^2 P_2 \]
Bézier Curves: the Derivation

Three parametric lines, blended:

\[ P_{01} = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1 \]
\[ P_{12} = (1-t)P_1 + tP_2 \]
\[ P_{012} = (1-t)P_{01} + tP_{12} \]
\[ = (1-t)^2 P_0 + 2t(1-t)P_1 + t^2 P_2 \]
\[ P_{123} = (1-t)P_{12} + tP_{23} \]
\[ = (1-t)^2 P_1 + 2t(1-t)P_2 + t^2 P_3 \]
Bézier Curves: the Derivation

Three parametric lines, blended:

\[ P_{01} = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1 \]
\[ P_{12} = (1-t)P_1 + tP_2 \]
\[ P_{012} = (1-t)P_{01} + tP_{12} \]
\[ = (1-t)^2 P_0 + 2t(1-t)P_1 + t^2 P_2 \]
\[ P_{123} = (1-t)P_{12} + tP_{23} \]
\[ = (1-t)^2 P_1 + 2t(1-t)P_2 + t^2 P_3 \]
\[ P_{0123} = (1-t)P_{012} + tP_{123} \]
\[ = (1-t)^3 P_0 + 3t(1-t)^2 P_1 + 3t^2 (1-t)P_2 + t^3 P_3 \]
Bézier Curves: Drawing and Sculpting

\[ P(t) = (1-t)^3 P_0 + 3t(1-t)^2 P_1 + 3t^2(1-t)P_2 + t^3 P_3 \]

\[ t = 0., .02, .04, .06, ..., .98, 1.0 \]
So How Do Smooth Curves Work in Computer Graphics?

A Small Amount of Input Change Results in a Large Amount of Output Change
The General Form of Cubic Curves

\[ P(t) = A + Bt + Ct^2 + Dt^3 \]

In this form, you need to determine 4 quantities (A, B, C, D) in order to use the equation. That means you have to provide 4 pieces of information. In the Bézier curve, this happens by specifying the 4 points.

\[ P(t) = (1-t)^3 P_0 + 3t(1-t)^2 P_1 + 3t^2 (1-t)P_2 + t^3 P_3 \]

Rearranging gives A, B, C, and D for the Bézier curve:

\[
\begin{align*}
A &= P_0 \\
B &= -3P_0 + 3P_1 \\
C &= 3P_0 - 6P_1 + 3P_2 \\
D &= -P_0 + 3P_1 - 3P_2 + P_3
\end{align*}
\]

Now the question is: are there other ways to control A, B, C, and D?
Another Approach: Coons (also called Hermite) Cubic Curves

Another approach to specifying the 4 pieces of information would be to give a start point, an end point, a start parametric slope, and an end parametric slope.

If we do this, then the equation of the curve is:

\[ P = A + Bt + C t^2 + D t^3 \]

where:

\[ A = P_0 \]
\[ B = \dot{P}_0 \]
\[ C = -3P_0 + 3P_1 - 2\dot{P}_0 - \ddot{P}_1 \]
\[ D = 2P_0 - 2P_1 + \dot{P}_0 + \ddot{P}_1 \]
Now, Let’s Apply this to the Y Translation of a Keyframe Animation

To make this simple to use, our goal is to just specify the keyframe values, not the slopes. We will let the computer compute the slopes for us, which will ten result in being able to compute the in-between frames.
Many Professional Animation Packages Make You Sculpt the Slopes (but we won’t . . .)

Blender:
The “Y vs. Frame” Curve Looks Like This
Getting the Two End Slopes

To get the slope at a keyframe point, draw a line between one keyframe back from that one and one keyframe ahead
Do This Same Thing for the X, Y, and Z Translations and the X, Y, and Z Rotations
Instead of Key Frames, I Like Specifying Key Times Better

And, so, I created a C++ class to do it all for you

class Keytimes:

    void    AddTimeValue( float time, float value );
    float   GetFirstTime( );
    float   GetLastTime( );
    int GetNumKeytimes( );
    float GetValue( float time );
    void PrintTimeValues( );
Instead of Key *Frames*, I Like Specifying Key *Times* Better

```c
Keytimes Xpos;

int main( int argc, char *argv[ ] )
{
    Xpos.AddTimeValue( 0.0, 0.000 );
    Xpos.AddTimeValue( 2.0, 0.333 );
    Xpos.AddTimeValue( 1.0, 3.142 );
    Xpos.AddTimeValue( 0.5, 2.718 );
    fprintf( stderr, "%d time-value pairs:\n", Xpos.GetNumKeytimes( ) );
    Xpos.PrintTimeValues( );

    fprintf( stderr, "Time runs from %8.3f to %8.3f\n", Xpos.GetFirstTime( ), Xpos.GetLastTime( ) );

    for( float t = 0.; t <= 2.01; t += 0.1 )
    {
        float v = Xpos.GetValue( t );
        fprintf( stderr, "%8.3f\t%8.3f\n", t, v );
    }
}
```
Instead of Key Frames, I Like Specifying Key Times Better

(0.00, 0.000)  (2.00, 0.333)
(0.00, 0.000)  (1.00, 3.142)  (2.00, 0.333)
(0.00, 0.000)  (0.50, 2.718)  (1.00, 3.142)  (2.00, 0.333)

4 time-value pairs

Time runs from 0.000 to 2.000

0.000  0.000
0.100  0.232
0.200  0.806
0.300  1.535
0.400  2.234
0.500  2.718
0.600  2.989
0.700  3.170
0.800  3.258
0.900  3.250
1.000  3.142
1.100  2.935
1.200  2.646
1.300  2.302
1.400  1.924
1.500  1.539
1.600  1.169
1.700  0.840
1.800  0.574
1.900  0.397
2.000  0.333
Using the System Clock in Animate( ) for Timing

if( AnimationIsOn )
{
    // # msec into the cycle ( 0 - MSEC-1 ):
    int msec = glutGet( GLUT_ELAPSED_TIME ) % MSEC;

    // turn that into the current time:
    float NowTime = (float)msec / 1000.;

    // get the positions right now:
    NowX = Xpos.GetValue( NowTime );
    NowY = Ypos.GetValue( NowTime );
    NowZ = Zpos.GetValue( NowTime );
    NowRx = Rxpos.GetValue( NowTime );
    NowRy = Rypos.GetValue( NowTime );
    NowRz = Rzpos.GetValue( NowTime );
}

In Display( ):

    glPushMatrix( );
    glTranslatef( NowX, NowY, NowZ );
    glRotatef( NowRx, 1., 0., 0. );
    glRotatef( NowRy, 0., 1., 0. );
    glRotatef( NowRz, 0., 0., 1. );

    << Draw the moving object >>

    glPopMatrix( );
A Final Word

If you ever do this “for real”, *quaternions* are a better way to do the rotations.

Quaternions are essentially 4D complex numbers, the details of which are beyond the scope of this class. They do a smoother job of rotations because they deal with an angle and an axis of rotation, rather than 3 angles about the principle axes, which is somewhat arbitrary.

See, for example, [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quaternion](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quaternion)