Looking at OpenCL Assembly Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>// put DT (a constant) → register r17</td>
<td>mov.f32 %r17, 00DCCCCCD;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// (p + v)*DT, x = r248</td>
<td>fma.m.32 %r248, %r156, %r17, %r188;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// (p + v)*DT, y = r249</td>
<td>fma.m.32 %r249, %r157, %r17, %r189;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// (p + v)*DT, z = r250</td>
<td>fma.m.32 %r250, %r158, %r17, %r190;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// 0., for x and z (a constant) → f19</td>
<td>mov.f32 %r19, 0000000000;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// (p + v)*DT, x = 0. → r256</td>
<td>add.f32 %r256, %r248, %r17;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// (p + v)*DT, y = 0. → r257</td>
<td>add.f32 %r257, %r249, %r18;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// (p + v)*DT, z = 0. → r258</td>
<td>add.f32 %r258, %r250, %r19;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// G.y * DT (a constant) → r20</td>
<td>mov.f32 %r20, 0BBF97A148;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// vx + 0. → r264</td>
<td>add.f32 %r264, %r156, %r17;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// vy + G.y * DT → r265</td>
<td>add.f32 %r265, %r157, %r20;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// vz + 0. → r266</td>
<td>add.f32 %r266, %r158, %r19;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
size_t  size;
status = clGetProgramInfo( Program, CL_PROGRAM_BINARY_SIZES, sizeof(size_t), &size, NULL );
PrintCLError( status, "clGetProgramInfo (1):" );

unsigned char * binary  =  new unsigned char [ size ];
status = clGetProgramInfo( Program, CL_PROGRAM_BINARIES, size, &binary, NULL );
PrintCLError( status, "clGetProgramInfo (2):" );

FILE * fpbin = fopen( CL_BINARY_NAME, "wb" );
if( fpbin == NULL )
    {
        fprintf( stderr, "Cannot create '%s\n", CL_BINARY_NAME );
    }
else
    {
        fwrite( binary, 1, size, fpbin );
        fclose( fpbin );
    }
delete [ ] binary;

This binary can then be used in a call to clCreateProgramWithBinary( )
```
typedef float4 point;
typedef float4 vector;
typedef float4 color;
typedef float4 sphere;

constant float4 G               = (float4) ( 0., -9.8, 0., 0. );
constant float   DT             = 0.1;
constant sphere Sphere1 = (sphere)( -100., -800., 0., 600. );
```
kernel
void Particle(  global point * dPobj,  global vector * dVel,  global color * dCobj )
{
    int gid = get_global_id( 0 ); // particle #

    point p   = dPobj[gid];
    vector v = dVel[gid];

    point pp   = p + v*DT + .5*DT*DT*G; // p'
    vector vp = v + G*DT; // v'

    dPobj[gid] = pp;
    dVel[gid]   = vp;
}

vector
Bounce( vector in, vector n )
{
    n.w = 0.;
    n = normalize( n );
    vector out = in - 2. * n * dot( in.xyz, n.xyz );
    out.w = 0.;
    return out;
}

vector
BounceSphere( point p, vector v, sphere s )
{
    vector n;
    n.xyz = fast_normalize( p.xyz - s.xyz );
    n.w = 0.;
    return Bounce( in, n );
}
FMA = “Fused Multiply-Add”

ld.global.v4.f32        {%f188, %f189, %f190, %f191}, [%r1]; // load dPobj[ gid ]
ld.global.v4.f32        {%f156, %f157, %f158, %f159}, [%r2]; // load dVel[ gid ]

mov.f32 %f17, 0f3DCCCCCD; // put DT (a constant) → register f17
fma.rn.f32 %f248, %f156, %f17, %f188; // (p + v*DT).x → f248
fma.rn.f32 %f249, %f157, %f17, %f189; // (p + v*DT).y → f249
fma.rn.f32 %f250, %f158, %f17, %f190; // (p + v*DT).z → f250

mov.f32 %f18, 0fBD48B43B; // .5 * G.y * DT * DT (a constant) → f18
mov.f32 %f19, 0f00000000; // 0., for .x and .z (a constant) → f19

add.f32 %f256, %f248, %f19; // (p + v*DT).x + 0. → f256
add.f32 %f257, %f249, %f18; // (p + v*DT).y + .5 * G.y * DT * DT → f257
add.f32 %f258, %f250, %f19; // (p + v*DT).z + 0. → f258

mov.f32 %f20, 0fBF7AE148; // G.y * DT (a constant) → f20

add.f32 %f264, %f156, %f19; // v.x + 0. → f264
add.f32 %f265, %f157, %f20; // v.y + G.y * DT → f265
add.f32 %f266, %f158, %f19; // v.z + 0. → f266
Things Learned from Examining OpenCL Assembly Language

• The points, vectors, and colors were typedef’ed as float4’s, but the compiler realized that they were being used as float3’s, and didn’t bother with the 4th element.

• The floatn’s were not SIMD’ed. (We actually knew this already, since NVIDIA doesn’t supported SIMD operations in their GPUs. ) There is still an advantage in coding this way, even if just for readability.

• The function calls were all in-lined. (This makes sense – the OpenCL spec says “no recursion”, which implies “no stack”, which would make function calls difficult.)

• Defining G, DT, and Sphere1 as constant memory types was a mistake. It got the correct results, but the compiler didn’t take advantage of them being constants. Changing them to type const threw compiler errors because of their global scope. Changing them to const and moving them into the body of the kernel function Particle did result in compiler optimizations.

• The sqrt(x²+y²+z²) assembly code is amazingly involved. I suspect it is an issue of maintaining highest precision. Use fast_sqrt( ), fast_normalize( ), and fast_length( ) when you can.

• The compiler did not do a good job with expressions-in-common. I had really hoped it would figure out that detecting if a point was in a sphere and determining the unitized surface normal at that point were mostly the same operation, but it didn’t.

• There is a 4-argument Fused-Multiply-Add operation to perform D = A + (B*C) in one instruction in hardware. The compiler took great advantage of it.
Many scientific and engineering computations take the form:

\[ D = A + (B \times C); \]

A “normal” multiply-add compilation would handle this as:

\[ \text{tmp} = B \times C; \]
\[ D = A + \text{tmp}; \]

A “fused” multiply-add does it all at once, that is, when the low-order bits of \( B \times C \) are ready, they are immediately added into the low-order bits of \( A \) at the same time that the higher-order bits of \( B \times C \) are being multiplied.

Consider a Base 10 example: \( 789 + (123 \times 456) \)

```
  123
x 456
  738
 615
 492
+ 789
 56,877
```

Can start adding the 9 the moment the 8 is produced!

Note: In the lower bits of the result, “Normal” \( A + (B \times C) \) ≠ “FMA” \( A + (B \times C) \)