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Why Are These Notes Here?

These notes are here to:

1. Help you setup and run your projects
2. Help you get the data you collect in the right format for submission
3. Help you get a better grade by doing all of this correctly!
   - better grade!
   - better grade!
   - better grade!
   - better grade!
Project Notes, I

• Feel free to run your projects on whatever systems you have access to.

• If you don’t have access to your own systems, then you can use what we have at OSU. 
On-campus users will have access to Windows and Linux systems here.
Ecampus users will have remote access to our Linux systems, such as flip.

• Most of the projects will require timing to determine performance. Use the OpenMP 
timing functions. They give decent answers, and this will make the timing consistent 
across projects and across people. The OpenMP call:

  double prec = omp_get_wtick( );

tells you the precision of the clock in seconds. I get $10^{-9}$ seconds on the systems I’ve 
been using. (I really doubt that this is true.) The OpenMP call:

  double time0 = omp_get_wtime( );

samples the clock right now. It gives you wall-clock time in seconds. In parallel 
computing, memory latency and thread-idle time are part of the equation, so wall clock 
time is what you want.

How We Will Be Doing Timing

In this class, we don’t want to just implement – we want to characterize performance. 
What speed-ups do we see, and why do we see them? How do we generalize that to 
other types of problems? What insights does this give us?

So, as part of your project assignments, you will be doing a lot of timing to determine 
program speed-ups.

#include <omp.h>

double time0 = omp_get_wtime( );  // seconds
  
  double time1 = omp_get_wtime( );  // seconds

  fprintf( stderr, "Elapsed time = \%10.2lf microseconds\n", 1000000. * ( time1 – time0 ) );

  \%10.2lf is a good way to print 
doubles ("long float")
How Reliable is the Timing?

This way of timing measures wall-clock time, which is really what we want to know in a parallel environment, not CPU time.

However, this puts you at the mercy of the other users on the system. If you are on one of our public systems (e.g., flip), I advise you to check the system load to see how much off your wall-clock time measurement will be due to the competition from other users. Use the Linux uptime command::

```
flip01 34% uptime
11:13:37 up 96 days, 11:52, 23 users, load average: 3.56, 3.08, 2.82
```

These three numbers represent total CPU load averages for the last 1, 5, and 15 minutes respectively. If the CPU load average is greater than the number of CPUs, then each CPU is over-burdened.

Clearly you want these numbers, especially the 1-minute one, to be as small as possible when you run your test. If they are "big", you might want to ssh to other systems (flip01, flip02, flip03, ...) to see if you can find a better place to run, or try again later.

How Reliable is the Timing? A Useful Trick!

I like to check the consistency of the timing by computing both peak speed and average speed and seeing how close they are:

```c
double maxmflops = 0.;
double summflops = 0.;
for( int t = 0; t < NUMTRIES; t++ )
{
    double time0 = omp_get_wtime( );
    #pragma omp parallel for
    for( int i = 0; i < ARRAYSIZE; i++ )
    {
        C[i] = A[i] * B[i];
    }
    double time1 = omp_get_wtime( );
    double mflops = (double)ARRAYSIZE/(time1-time0)/1000000.;
    summflops += mflops;
    if( mflops > maxmflops )
        maxmflops = mflops;
}
printf( "   Peak Performance = %8.2lf MFLOPS
", maxmflops );
printf( "Average Performance = %8.2lf MFLOPS
", summflops/(double)NUMTRIES );
```

You should record the peak performance value. This gives you as close to the best answer that you will get. But, compare that with the average performance value. That will tell you how reliable that peak value is.

This is a reliable result:
Peak Performance = 1183.31 MFLOPS
Average Performance = 1141.41 MFLOPS

This is an unreliable result:
Peak Performance = 627.39 MFLOPS
Average Performance = 294.86 MFLOPS
Project Notes, II

If you are on Linux and have access to the Intel compiler, icpc, don’t use it unless we tell you to! (icpc is so good that it often does optimizations that undermine the very things you are testing.)

**Use g++**. The compilation sequences are:

On Linux, the typical compile sequence for files that use OpenMP is:

```
g++   -o   proj proj.cpp   -O3   -lm   -fopenmp
icpc -o   proj proj.cpp   -O3   -lm   -openmp -align -qopt-report=3 -qopt-report-phase=vec
```

Note that OpenMP should always be included because we are using OpenMP calls for timing.

Note that the second character in the 3-character sequence “-lm” is an ell, i.e., a lower-case L. This is how you link in the **Math** library.

---

**Project Notes, III**

- Most of these projects will require you to submit graphs. You can prepare the graphs any way you want, except for drawing them by hand. (The Excel Scatter-with-Smooth-Lines-and-Markers works well.) So that we can easily look at each other’s graphs, please follow the convention that **up is faster**. That is, do not plot seconds on the Y axis because then “up” would mean “slower”. Instead, plot something like Speedup or MFLOPS or frames-per-second.

- I expect the graphs to show off your **scientific literacy** – that is, I expect axes with numbers, labels, and units. If there are multiple curves on the same set of axes, I expect to be able to easily tell which curve goes with which quantity. After all, there is a reason this major is called Computer Science. Not doing this makes your project unacceptable for grading.

You lose points if you don’t do it this way.
In Excel, I have had the most success with creating tables that look like this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>9.18</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>25.18</td>
<td>25.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>19.26</td>
<td>17.93</td>
<td>34.34</td>
<td>49.83</td>
<td>49.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>18.66</td>
<td>82.39</td>
<td>91.95</td>
<td>91.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>15.21</td>
<td>48.49</td>
<td>137.59</td>
<td>166.17</td>
<td>184.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where the 1,2,4,8 rows are holding the number of threads constant, and the 1, 10, 100, 1000, etc. columns are holding the dataset size constant. The cells are holding performance numbers, with higher numbers representing faster performance.

Sweep over the entire table, select Copy, and then insert it into one of the scatterplot options.
To transpose the sense of the graph (which you also need to do), right-click on the border of the graph and then click on "Select Data".

Then click on "Switch Row/Column".
How Did this Monte Carlo Simulation Turn Out?

Monte Carlo Performance

- # of cores
- MegaTrials/Second
- # of trials

It’s the Same Data, but Each Graph Gives You a Different Insight into what the Data is Telling You

Monte Carlo Performance

- # of Monte Carlo trials
- MegaTrials/Second
- # of cores
- # of trials
This Data is actually a 3D Surface Plot –
The 2D Graphs are actually sets of 2D slices through the 3D Surface

Making Graphs

When we plot, we will all put execution performance on the Y axis (as opposed to putting elapsed time on the Y axis). Thus, as far as performance goes, up will mean "good". So, for example:
As you can tell, these performance measurements will be far more intelligible when examined as a graph than as raw numbers. **Thus, you are expected to have access to a good automated graphing package.** If you don’t have one, or can’t get access to one – go get one!

Hand-drawn graphs, whether analog or digital, will not be accepted for your assignments.

**You will also need a word processor, with a way to import your tables and graphs, and with a way to turn that document into PDF.**

---

**Setting up Your Benchmarks to run from Scripts**

There are always advantages to not hardcoding constants into the middle of your program and, instead, setting them with a #define at the top where you can find that value and change it easily, like this:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <math.h>
#ifndef NUMT
#define NUMT 8
#define NUMT 8
#endif
```

Then, in the C or C++ program, all you have to do is use NUMT to set the number of threads, like this:

```c
omp_set_num_threads( NUMT );
```

But, the use of the #ifndef/#endif construct has other advantages. It lets you either run this as a standalone program or run many occurrences of the program from a script.
How to Run Benchmarks from Scripts

In our project assignments, you will run benchmarks, that is, you will try your application using several different combinations of parameters. Setting these combinations by hand inside your program one-by-one is a time-consuming pain.

Your time is more valuable than that. Try doing it from a script.

In most C and C++ compilers, there is some mechanism to set a `#define` from outside the program. Many of them use the `-D` construct on the command line:

```
#!/bin/csh
#number of threads:
foreach t ( 1 2 4 6 8 )
  echo NUMT = $t
  g++ -DNUMT=$t prog.cpp -o prog -lm -fopenmp
./prog
end
```

Then, in the C or C++ program, all you have to do is use NUMT. For example:

```
omp_set_num_threads( NUMT );
```

This lets you automatically run your program 5 times with 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8 threads.

You can also test multiple parameters from the same script by nesting the loops. This one is done using C Shell (`csh`):

```
#!/bin/csh
# number of threads:
foreach t ( 1 2 4 6 8 )
  echo NUMT = $t
# number of subdivisions:
foreach s ( 2 4 8 16 32 64 128 256 512 1024 2048 4096 )
  echo NUMS = $s
  g++ -DNUMS=$s -DNUMT=$t prog.cpp -o prog -lm -fopenmp
./prog
end
end
```
#!/bin/bash

# number of threads:
for t in 1 2 4 6 8
do
    echo NUMT = $t

# number of subdivisions:
for s in 2 4 8 16 32 64 128 256 512 1024 2048 3072 4096
do
    echo NUMS = $s
    g++ -DNUMS=$s -DNUMT=$t prog.cpp -o prog -lm -fopenmp
    ./prog
done

done

Or, in bash (Bourne-again Shell) …

import os

for t in [1, 2, 4, 6, 8]:
    print "NUMT = %d" % t
for s in [2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256, 512, 1024, 2048, 3072, 4096]:
    print "NUMS = %d" % s
    cmd = "g++ -DNUMS=%d -DNUMT=%d prog.cpp -o prog -lm -fopenmp" % (s, t)
    os.system(cmd)
    cmd = "/prog"
    os.system(cmd)

Or, in Python…
Computing Performance

When computing performance, be sure that the numerator is amount of work done and the denominator is the amount of time it took to do that work. For example, in the Bezier surface example, computing one height is the work done at each node and you have NUMS*NUMS total nodes, so (NUMS*NUMS)/dt is one good way to measure performance.

NUMS, NUMS*NUMS, 1./dt, and NUMS/dt are not good ways to measure performance as they don't reflect the true amount of work done per time.

If you are using ridiculously high values for NUMS, the quantity NUMS*NUMS might overflow a normal 32-bit int. You can use a long int, or just float each one separately. Instead of (float)(NUMS*NUMS)/dt, you could say (float)NUMS*(float)NUMS/dt

If you are squaring a size number, and are using signed ints, the largest NUMS you can use is:

\[ \sqrt{2,147,483,647} = 46,340 \]

If you are squaring a size number, and are using unsigned ints, the largest NUMS you can use is:

\[ \sqrt{4,294,967,295} = 65,535 \]

Project Turn-in Procedures

Your project turnins will all be electronic.

Your project turnins will be done at http://engr.oregonstate.edu/teach and will consist of:

1. Source files of everything (.cpp, .cl, .cuda)
2. A Linux or Windows executable file, if needed.
3. A report in PDF format. **You can .zip everything else if you want, but please leave the PDF as a separate file.**

Electronic submissions are due at 23:59:59 on the listed due date.

Your PDF report will include:

1. A title area, including your name, email, project number, and project name.
2. Any tables or graphs to show your results.
3. An explanation of what you did and why it worked the way it did. Your submission will not be considered valid unless you at least attempt to explain why it works the way it does.

Your project will be graded and the score posted to the class web page.

If you want to know why you did not receive full credit, send me an email asking about it, or see me during Office Hours.
Projects are due at 23:59:59 on the listed due date, with the following exception:

Each of you has been granted **five** Bonus Days, which are no-questions-asked one-day project extensions which may be applied to any project, subject to the following rules:

1. No more than **2** Bonus Days may be applied to any one project
2. Bonus Days cannot be applied to tests
3. Bonus Days cannot be applied such that they extend a project due date past the start of Test #2.

To use one or more Bonus Days on a given project:

- You don't need to let me know ahead of time.
- Turn-in promptness is measured **by date**. Don't worry if teach tells you it's late because it is between 23:30:01 and 23:59:59. But, after 23:59:59 on the posted due date, it's late!
- teach has been instructed to accept your turn-in, no matter when you do it.
- I will run a script to identify the projects that will have Bonus Days deducted
- You can see how many **Bonus Days** you have **Left** by looking in the **BDL** column of the grading table on the class web site.
Virtual machines are, apparently, not automatically setup to do multithreading.

If you are running on your own virtual machine, and are getting performance numbers that make absolutely no sense, try using one of the OSU machines.

A Warning About Virtual Machines

Some of you will end up having strange, unexplainable problems with your csh scripts or .cpp programs. This could be because you are typing your code in on Windows (using Notepad or Wordpad or Word) and then running it on Linux. Windows likes to insert an extra carriage return ('\r') at the end of each line, which Linux interprets as a garbage character.

You can test this by typing the Linux command:

```
od -c loop.csh
```

which will show you all the characters, even the '\r' (carriage returns, which you don't want) and the '\n' (newlines, which you do want).

To get rid of the carriage returns, enter the Linux command:

```
tr -d '\r' < loop.csh > loop1.csh
```
Then run loop1.csh

Or, on some systems, there is a utility called `dos2unix` which does this for you:

```
dos2unix < loop.csh > loop1.csh
```

Sorry about this. Unfortunately, this is a fact of life when you mix Windows and Linux.