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What is Vectorization/SIMD and Why do We Care?
Performance!
Many hardware architectures today, both CPU and GPU, allow you to perform arithmetic operations on multiple array elements simultaneously.
(Thus the label, “Single Instruction Multiple Data”.)
We care about this because many problems, especially scientific and engineering, can be cast this way. Examples include convolution, Fourier transform, power spectrum, autocorrelation, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Released</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Width (bits)</th>
<th>Width (FP words)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>MMX</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>SSE</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>AV/X</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>AVX-512</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you care:
• MMX stands for “MultiMedia Extensions”
• SSE stands for “Streaming SIMD Extensions”
• AV/X stands for “Advanced Vector Extensions”

Intel SSE
Intel and AMD CPU architectures support vectorization. The most well-known form is called Streaming SIMD Extension, or SSE. It allows four floating point operations to happen simultaneously.

Normally a scalar floating point multiplication instruction happens like this:
```
mulss r1, r0
```

```
r0
  r1
```

"ATT form":
```
mulss src, dst
```

Note: one complete cache line!
The SSE version of the multiplication instruction happens like this:

```
mulps xmm1, xmm0
```

**ATT form**: 
`mulps src, dst`

---

SIMD Multiplication

**Array * Array**

```c
void SimdMul( float *a, float *b, float *c, int len )
{
    c[0:len] = a[0:len] * b[0:len];
}
```

Note that the construct:

```
a[0 : ArraySize]
```

is meant to be read as:

"The set of elements in the array **a** starting at index 0 and going for **ArraySize** elements".

---

**Array * Array**

```c
void SimdMul( float *a, float *b, float *c, int len )
{
    #pragma omp simd
    for( int i = 0; i < len; i++ )
        c[i] = a[i] * b[i];
}
```

---

**Array * Scalar**

```c
void SimdMul( float *a, float *b, float *c, int len )
{
    c[0:len] = a[0:len] * b;
}
```

---

**Array * Scalar**

```c
void SimdMul( float *a, float *b, float *c, int len )
{
    #pragma omp simd
    for( int i = 0; i < len; i++ )
        c[i] = a[i] * b[i];
}
```
Array*Array Multiplication Speedup

You would think it would always be 4.0 ± noise effects, but it’s not. Why?

SIMD in OpenMP 4.0

Requirements for a For-Loop to be Vectorized

- If there are nested loops, the one to vectorize must be the inner one.
- There can be no jumps or branches. “Masked assignments” (an if-statement-controlled assignment) are OK, e.g.,
  \[
  \text{if}( A[i] > 0. ) \\
  B[i] = 1.; 
  \]
- The total number of iterations must be known at runtime when the loop starts.
- There cannot be any backward loop dependencies, like this:
  \[
  \]
- It helps if the elements have contiguous memory addresses.

Prefetching

Prefetching is used to place a cache line in memory before it is to be used, thus hiding the latency of fetching from off-chip memory.

There are two key issues here:
1. Issuing the prefetch at the right time
2. Issuing the prefetch at the right distance

The right time:
If the prefetch is issued too late, then the memory values won’t be back when the program wants to use them, and the processor has to wait anyway.

If the prefetch is issued too early, then there is a chance that the prefetched values could be evicted from cache by another need before they can be used.

The right distance:
The “prefetch distance” is how far ahead the prefetch memory is than the memory we are using right now.

Too far, and the values sit in cache for too long, and possibly get evicted.

Too near, and the program is ready for the values before they have arrived.
The Effects of Prefetching on SIMD Computations

Array Multiplication
Length of Arrays (NUM): 1,000,000
Length per SIMD call (ONETIME): 256

```
for(int i = 0; i < NUM; i += ONETIME)
{
    __builtin_prefetch(&A[i+PD], WILL_READ_ONLY, LOCALITY_LOW);
    __builtin_prefetch(&B[i+PD], WILL_READ_ONLY, LOCALITY_LOW);
    __builtin_prefetch(&C[i+PD], WILL_READ_AND_WRITE, LOCALITY_LOW);
    SimdMul(A, B, C, ONETIME);
}
```

Array Size (M)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed (MFLOPS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This all sounds great! What is the catch?

The catch is that compilers haven’t caught up to producing really efficient SIMD code. So, while there are great ways to express the desire for SIMD in code, you won’t get the full potential speedup … yet.

So, for the CPU SIMD project, we are going to investigate the potential speedup using assembly language. Don’t worry – you don’t need to write it.

You will be given two assembly functions:
2. SimdMulSum: return (\sum A[0:len] * B[0:len])

```
#define __attribute__((weak))

void SimdMul(float *a, float *b, float *c, int len)
{
    int limit = (len/SSE_WIDTH) * SSE_WIDTH;
    __asm__ ("movq -24(%rbp), %r8
    movq -32(%rbp), %rcx
    movq -40(%rbp), %rdx
    for(int i = 0; i < limit; i += SSE_WIDTH)
    {__asm__ ("movups (%r8), %xmm0
    movups (%rcx), %xmm1
    mulps %xmm1, %xmm0
    movups %xmm0, (%rdx)
    addq $16, %r8
    addq $16, %rcx
    addq $16, %rdx
    ");
    c[i] = a[i] * b[i];
    };
}
```

Warning – due to the nature of how different compilers and systems handle local variables, these two functions only work on **flip** using gcc/g++, without –O3 !!!!
Getting at the full SIMD power until compilers catch up

```c
float
SimdMulSum( float *a, float *b, int len )
{
    float sums[4] = { 0., 0., 0., 0. };
    int limit = ( len/SSE_WIDTH ) * SSE_WIDTH;

    __asm
    (.att_syntax
        "movq -40(%rbp), %r8
        leaq -32(%rbp), %rdx
        movups (%rdx), %xmm2
        movups (%r8), %xmm0
        movups (%rcx), %xmm1
        mulps %xmm1, %xmm0
        addps %xmm0, %xmm2
    
    for( int i = 0; i < limit; i += SSE_WIDTH )
    {
        __asm
        (.att_syntax
            "movups (%r8), %xmm0
            movups (%rcx), %xmm1
            mulps %xmm1, %xmm0
        
        addq $16, %r8
        addq $16, %rcx
    }

    __asm
    (.att_syntax
        "movups %xmm2, (%rdx)
    
    for( int i = limit; i < len; i++ )
    {
        sums[0] += a[i] * b[i];
    }

}
```

This only works on flip using gcc/g++, without –O3 !!!

Combining SIMD with Multicore

```c
#define NUM_ELEMENTS_PER_CORE ARRAYSIZE / NUMT

omp_set_num_threads( NUMT );
maxMegaMultsPerSecond = 0.;
for( int t = 0; t < NUM_TRIES; t++ )
{
    double time0 = omp_get_wtime();
    #pragma omp parallel
    {
        int first = omp_get_thread_num() * NUM_ELEMENTS_PER_CORE;
        SimdMul( &A[first], &B[first], &C[first], NUM_ELEMENTS_PER_CORE );
    }
    double time1 = omp_get_wtime();
    double megaMultsPerSecond = (float)ARRAYSIZE / ( time1 - time0 ) / 1000000.;
    if( megaMultsPerSecond > maxMegaMultsPerSecond )
    maxMegaMultsPerSecond = megaMultsPerSecond;
}
```

A preview of things to come:
OpenCL and CUDA have a data type called “float4”

When we get to OpenCL, we could compute projectile physics like this:

```c
float4  pp = p + v*DT + .5*DT*DT*G; // p'
```

But, instead, we will do it like this:

```c
float4  pp = p + v*DT + .5*DT*DT*G; // p'
```

We do it this way for two reasons:
1. Convenience and clean coding
2. Some hardware can do multiple arithmetic operations simultaneously
constant float4 G = (float4) ( 0., -9.8, 0., 0. );
color constant float DT = 0.1;

kernel
void
Particle( global float4 * dPobj, global float4 * dVel, global float4 * dCobj )
{
    int gid = get_global_id( 0 ); // particle #
    float4 p = dPobj[gid]; // particle #gid's position
    float4 v = dVel[gid]; // particle #gid's velocity

    float4 pp = p + v*DT + .5*DT*DT*G; // p'
    float4 vp = v + DT*G; // v'

    dPobj[gid] = pp;
    dVel[gid] = vp;
}

The whole thing will look like this:

SIMD is an important way to achieve speed-ups on a CPU
For now, you might have to write in assembly language to get to all of it
I suspect that #pragma omp simd will eventually catch up
Prefetching can really help SIMD