

## CS 517: PH in terms of Oracle Classes

Writeup by Mike Rosulek

Theorem 1 For  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\Sigma_k = NP^{\Sigma_{k-1}}$

Proof ( $\subseteq$ ) Suppose we are given an arbitrary language  $L \in \Sigma_k$ . That is,  $L$  can be written as

$$L = \{x \mid \exists w_1 \forall w_2 \cdots Q w_k : M(x, w_1, \dots, w_k) = 1\}$$

for some polytime  $M$ . We want to show that  $L$  can be written as an  $NP^{\Sigma_{k-1}}$  language.

Define the related language:

$$L' = \{(x, w_1) \mid \exists w_2 \forall w_3 \cdots Q w_k : M(x, w_1, \dots, w_k) = 0\}$$

This language is clearly in  $\Sigma_{k-1}$ . Now observe:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in L &\iff \exists w_1 \forall w_2 \cdots Q w_k : M(x, w_1, \dots, w_k) = 1 \\ &\iff \exists w_1 \neg [\exists w_2 \forall w_3 \cdots Q w_k : M(x, w_1, \dots, w_k) = 0] \\ &\iff \exists w_1 : (x, w_1) \notin L' \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can rewrite  $L$  in an equivalent way,  $L = \{x \mid \exists w_1 : (x, w_1) \notin L'\}$ . We have written  $L$  in terms of an existential quantifier followed by a condition that can be verified in polynomial time with an  $L'$ -oracle. Hence,  $L \in NP^{L'} \subseteq NP^{\Sigma_{k-1}}$ .

( $\supseteq$ ) Suppose we are given an arbitrary language  $L \in NP^{\Sigma_{k-1}}$ . That is,  $L$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \{x \mid \exists w^* : M^A(x, w^*) = 1\}, \text{ where} \\ A &= \{q \mid \exists w_1 \forall w_2 \cdots Q w_{k-1} : T(q, w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}) = 1\} \end{aligned}$$

for some poly-time  $M$  and  $T$ . We want to show that  $L$  can be written as a  $\Sigma_k$  language.

We first introduce some helpful terminology. Let  $C$  be some oracle TM and let  $O$  be some oracle. We can think of the computation of  $C^O(x)$  as an *interaction*, where  $C$  repeatedly sends a *query*  $q$  to  $O$ , and  $O$  sends a *response*  $r \in \{0, 1\}$  back to  $C$ . Let  $\mathbf{t} = (q_1, r_1, \dots, q_n, r_n)$  denote the **transcript** of such an interaction. We say that  $\mathbf{t}$  is:

- **consistent with caller**  $C(x)$  **accepting** if, when running on input  $x$ , the oracle TM  $C$  will indeed make the sequence of queries  $q_1, \dots, q_n$  and finally accept, as long as it receives responses  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  from those queries.
- **consistent with oracle**  $O$  if for all  $i$ :  $r_i = 1 \Rightarrow q_i \in O$  and  $r_i = 0 \Rightarrow q_i \notin O$ . ■

Using this terminology, we can restate what it means for an oracle machine to accept a string:

$$C^O(x) = 1 \iff \exists \mathbf{t} : \mathbf{t} \text{ is consistent with caller } C(x) \text{ accepting} \\ \text{and } \mathbf{t} \text{ is consistent with oracle } O$$

We can now rewrite the language  $L$  in terms of this definition, and expand:

$$\begin{aligned}
x \in L &\iff \exists w^* : M^A(x, w^*) = 1 \\
&\iff \exists w^*, t : t \text{ is consistent with caller } M(x, w^*) \text{ accepting} \\
&\quad \text{and } t \text{ is consistent with oracle } A \\
&\iff \exists w^*, t = (q_1, r_1, \dots, q_n, r_n) : \\
&\quad t \text{ is consistent with caller } M(x, w^*) \text{ accepting} \\
&\quad \text{and } [r_1 = 1 \Rightarrow q_1 \in A] \text{ and } [r_1 = 0 \Rightarrow q_1 \notin A] \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\quad \text{and } [r_n = 1 \Rightarrow q_n \in A] \text{ and } [r_n = 0 \Rightarrow q_n \notin A] \\
&\iff \exists w^*, t = (q_1, r_1, \dots, q_n, r_n) : \\
&\quad t \text{ is consistent with caller } M(x, w^*) \text{ accepting} \\
&\quad \text{and } r_1 = 1 \Rightarrow [\exists w_{1,1} \forall w_{1,2} \cdots \text{Q} w_{1,k-1} : T(q_1, w_{1,1}, \dots, w_{1,k-1}) = 1] \\
&\quad \text{and } r_1 = 0 \Rightarrow [\forall w'_{1,1} \exists w'_{1,2} \cdots \text{Q} w'_{1,k-1} : T(q_1, w'_{1,1}, \dots, w'_{1,k-1}) = 0] \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\quad \text{and } r_n = 1 \Rightarrow [\exists w_{n,1} \forall w_{n,2} \cdots \text{Q} w_{n,k-1} : T(q_n, w_{n,1}, \dots, w_{n,k-1}) = 1] \\
&\quad \text{and } r_n = 0 \Rightarrow [\forall w'_{n,1} \exists w'_{n,2} \cdots \text{Q} w'_{n,k-1} : T(q_n, w'_{n,1}, \dots, w'_{n,k-1}) = 0] \\
&\iff \exists w^*, t = (q_1, r_1, \dots, q_n, r_n), w_{1,1}, \dots, w_{n,1} : \\
&\quad \forall w_{1,2}, \dots, w_{n,2}, w'_{1,1}, \dots, w'_{n,1} : \\
&\quad \exists w_{1,3}, \dots, w_{n,3}, w'_{1,2}, \dots, w'_{n,2} : \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\quad \text{Q} w'_{1,k-1}, \dots, w'_{n,k-1} : \\
&\quad t \text{ is consistent with caller } M(x, w^*) \text{ accepting} \\
&\quad \text{and } [r_1 = 1 \Rightarrow T(q_1, w_{1,1}, \dots, w_{1,k-1}) = 1] \\
&\quad \text{and } [r_1 = 0 \Rightarrow T(q_1, w'_{1,1}, \dots, w'_{1,k-1}) = 0] \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\quad \text{and } [r_n = 1 \Rightarrow T(q_n, w_{n,1}, \dots, w_{n,k-1}) = 1] \\
&\quad \text{and } [r_n = 0 \Rightarrow T(q_n, w'_{n,1}, \dots, w'_{n,k-1}) = 0]
\end{aligned}$$

This final expression consists of  $k$  alternating quantifiers (beginning with  $\exists$ ), followed by a condition that can be checked in polynomial time. This shows that  $L \in \Sigma_k$ , as desired.