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Abstract. Automatically tagging textual mentions with the concepts, types and entities that they represent are important tasks for which supervised learning has been found to be very effective. In this paper, we consider the problem of exploiting multiple sources of training data with variant ontologies. We present a new transfer learning approach based on embedding multiple label sets in a shared space, and using it to augment the training data.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Automatically tagging textual mentions with ontological concepts, types, and entities that they represent is useful in many knowledge-intensive fields such as biology and medicine. This problem is studied under the names of Named Entity Recognition, Entity Linking, and Wikiification. Supervised learning from annotated training data has been found to be an effective method to tackle this task. However, in most fields in general, and biology in particular, there are often multiple ontologies. For example, different ontologies such as the Cell Type Ontology, the Protein Ontology, the Sequence Ontology, and the Gene Ontology might overlap, but use different vocabulary, and provide complementary information [11]. Each ontology comes with its own annotated training data, which presents the problem of reconciling the different ontologies and effectively using the training data for the old (source) ontologies in training for a new (target) ontology.

The above problem is an instance of Transfer learning, which aims to leverage the training data from one or more source domains to improve the sample efficiency in a related target domain. Domain Adaptation is Transfer learning where the source and the target domains use the same label set but have different distributions [1]. Transfer learning where the label sets are variant across domains is far less studied. In many real world applications, the ontologies or label sets of different tasks could be (implicitly) overlapping and/or intricately related. For example, one biological application of natural language processing is to tag natural texts with proteins from a given protein ontology. In a related task, we might need to tag the text with genes based on a specific gene ontology. The two ontologies are clearly related and may provide useful information toward one another. For such tasks, we need a transfer learning approach that can be applied with variant ontologies/label sets, which will learn simultaneously from both domains and thus enhance the efficiency of learning.

Standard Domain adaptation techniques [3,4] are not directly applicable to this problem because they assume that the label sets are invariant. Recent work proposed a solution based on finding a mapping between the labels using Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA), and then reducing the problem to the standard domain adaptation setting [5].

We develop a method that embeds the source and target labels in a shared space and takes advantage of the shared space to transfer the knowledge. Instead of using the label embedding to produce a mapping between the source and target labels, we directly employ the label embeddings to augment the feature representation of the target examples by the predicted source label embeddings. After that, a model is trained on the target side. We conducted a preliminary study on the task of Named Entity Recognition in which we used a two dataset that use different but related annotation scheme. We ashow that our approach significantly outperforms several baselines.

II. PROBLEM SETUP
A domain $D_i = (X_i, P(X_i))$ consists of two components: the feature space $X_i$ and the corresponding marginal distribution $P(X_i)$. Let $T_i = (Y_i, f_i(.)$ be the task $i$ where $Y_i$ is the label set of the domain $i$, and let $f_i(.) = X_i, \ldots Y_i$ be a function that maps $X_i$ to $Y_i$. The goal of transfer learning is to use the knowledge of $f_i$ learned from source domain-task pair $(D_s, T_s)$ to improve the learning of $f_i$ on the target side $(D_t, T_t)$.

In standard domain adaptation (aka transductive transfer learning [3,4,6]), the source and the target tasks are the same, i.e., $T_s = T_t$, while the domains differ (either $X_s = X_t$ or $P(X_s) = P(X_t)$). On the other hand, in the inductive transfer learning setting [7,5], which includes our work, the domains are the same or closely related, but the tasks differ, i.e., $T_s \neq T_t$.

III. TRANSFER LEARNING VIA LABEL EMBEDDING
In this section, we describe our approach to learn label embeddings and use them to transfer the learning across the domains. We follow the method presented in Kim et al. [5] to induce the label embeddings. Specifically, we use Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA)[8] to project both source and target labels to a shared space where the correlation between the projected vectors is maximized. Then, we employ these embeddings to transfer the knowledge from the source domain to the target domain. The projection vectors then can be used to reduce the dimensionality of the variables by projecting them into $k$-dimensional space, where $k$ is a parameter to be tuned.
To use the extracted embeddings in transferring the knowledge, we propose a method that works as follows: first, we train a model on the source domain, and use it to make predictions on the target domain. Then, we augment the feature space of each instance in the target domain with the label embedding corresponding to the predicted source label. Finally, a model is trained on the target domain.

A nice property of this method is that it can be applied regardless the type of relationships between the source and the target labels. It works with 1-to-1, n-to-1, and 1-to-n relationships. It is also applicable if the label types overlap.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

In this section, we describe our experimental setup and results on the task of Named Entity Recognition (NER).

Dataset. We used CoNLL 2003\(^{1}\) NER benchmark dataset as a source domain and a small dataset called TAC-KBP2015\(^{2}\) NER dataset as a target. CoNLL2003 defines four entity types: Person (PER), Organization (ORG), Location (LOC), and Miscellaneous (MICS). TAC-KBP2015 defines six entity types: Person (PER), Title (TTL), Organization (ORG), Geopolitical Entities (GPE), Location (LOC), and Facilities (FAC). Our approach doesn’t need any prior knowledge of the matching types between CoNLL 2003 and TAC-KBP2015.

Evaluation. We follow CoNLL exact match evaluation protocol for the NER task [9]. In particular, we calculate the recall, the precision, and the $F_1$-score for each entity type, and then micro-average the recalls, the precisions, and the $F_1$-scores.

Features and Training. We employ the standard set of features used by Stanford NLP group to train their NER\(^{3}\). The feature set includes: word features, orthographic features, feature conjunctions and others. We also train our model using Stanford NER system\(^{4}\). It provides a general implementation of Conditional Random Field [10]. We use label embeddings of size 5 in all of our experiments.

Baselines. To investigate the effectiveness of our method AugmntTr, we compare it to two other baselines:

- **TargetOnly**: train a model on the target dataset.
- **Pred**: use the output of source predictor as an additional feature to train a model on the target dataset.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we present the experimental results of all approaches under study. The results are summarized in Table 1. It shows that our method AugmntTr produces about 7% and 9% $F_1$-score improvement over TargetOnly and Pred methods. This illustrates the ability of CCA to discover the relationship between label types in CoNLL2003 and TAC-KBP2015 datasets. Augmenting the feature space of TAC-KBP2015 dataset with the label embedding of CoNLL2003 labels transfers the knowledge from CoNLL2003 to TAC-KBP2015 via these embeddings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Avg-R</th>
<th>Avg-P</th>
<th>Avg-$F_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TargetOnly</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>0.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pred</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AugmntTr</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION

We present an approach to transfer the learning with different label sets between the source and the target domains. Our approach makes use of label embeddings induced by CCA. We augment the feature space of the target data with embeddings of the predicted source labels, and then, train a model on the target domain. We find that CCA is able to produce high quality label embeddings that are capable of transferring the knowledge across domains, this explains the superiority of our approach over the baselines.
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