# SECTION 3: BOND GRAPH SYNTHESIS

ESE 330 – Modeling & Analysis of Dynamic Systems

# Introduction

- Goal of this section of notes is learn how to generate a bond graph model for a physical system
  - Map system components to bond graph elements I's, C's, R's, sources, etc.
- Starting point will be a *physical system model* 
  - A schematic
  - Not the real system
  - All modeling decisions have already been made at this point
    - What to include in the model, what to neglect

From here on out, we'll focus primarily on *mechanical* and *electrical* systems

Easily extended to other energy domains, e.g. fluid systems



# <sup>3</sup> Mechanical Systems

# Bond Graphs of Mechanical Systems

- 4
- The following bond graph synthesis techniques apply equally to both *translational* and *rotational mechanical systems*
- Illustrate the procedure with a simple example
  - Translational spring/mass/damper system
  - Starting from a schematic diagram
    - Already a system model
    - Real, physical system components have been reduced to springs, masses, and dampers
    - Some aspects have surely been neglected



5

# Identify and label all distinct, non-zero, absolute velocities (flows) in the physical model (schematic)

- Velocities of masses
- Velocities at the ends of springs and dampers
- Indicate the arbitrarily-assumed positive velocity directions
  - Relative to an inertial reference
- Choose the relative velocities of the springs and dampers to be positive either in compression or in tension
  - Indicate on the schematic as either +T or +C
- Gravitational forces noted as well



6

# List all one- and two-port elements along with their relevant velocities (flows)

#### Include all TF and GY equations

- Map physical components to one-port bond graph components
  - Include component values
- Define relative velocities as differences between absolute velocities
- Sources move with the components to which they're connected
- Include bonds connected to one-ports
  - Bonds point in toward all *I*'s, *R*'s, and *C*'s
  - Direction of source bonds determined by power convention



- 7
- Input effort source acts in the same direction as v1, so its bond points out
- □ Gravitational effort sources oppose v1 and v2 bonds point in

Element	Velocity
$m_1: I \leftarrow$	$v_1$
$1/k_1: C \leftarrow$	$v_{s1} = v_1 - v_2$
$m_2: I \leftarrow$	$v_2$
$1/k_2$ : C $\leftarrow$	$v_2$
b: R ←	$v_2$
$m_1g:S_e \leftarrow$	$v_1$
$m_2g:S_e \leftarrow$	$v_2$
$F_{in}(t): S_e \rightarrow$	$v_1$



#### Place a 1-junction for each distinct velocity (flow)

- Both absolute and relative velocities
- Label the velocity of each 1-junction on the bond graph



v<sub>s1</sub>

V<sub>2</sub>

1

V<sub>1</sub>

9

#### Relate velocities (flows, 1-jct.'s) together using 0junctions, transformers, and gyrators

 Rewrite relative velocity equations from step 2, eliminating negative signs
 Think of as (inc) = (auto)

Think of as 'ins' = 'outs'

 $v_1 = v_{s1} + v_2$ 

- Use 0-junctions to sum absolute velocities, yielding relative velocities
- Write TF and GY equations



#### Attach one-port elements to appropriate 1-junctions

- Bonds point in toward I's, C's, and R's
- Bond direction of sources dependent on power convention
  - As determined in step 2



#### Simplify the bond graph

Eliminate any *two-port O- or 1-junctions* with
 *through power*, e.g.



not

1 or 1

- Replace with a single bond
- Collapse cascaded 0- and 1-junctions



# Mechanical Systems – Bond Graph

#### The complete bond graph

- Understand how bond graph relates to physical system:
  - All components connected to a *1-junction* move at the *same velocity*
    - C's and R's in parallel
  - Spring's velocity is the difference between the velocities of its end points
    - Attached to a 0-jct between its connection-point velocities



- Rack-and-pinion system
  - A hybrid rotational/ translational system
- <u>Step 1</u>: identify and label all distinct, non-zero, absolute velocities on the schematic diagram
  - Angular velocity of the pinion gear, ω<sub>1</sub>
  - Linear velocity of the rack, v<sub>1</sub>
  - Compression chosen to be positive



14

Step 2: list all one- and twoport elements and their velocities

Include TF and GY equations

Element	Velocity
<i>J</i> : <i>I</i> ←	$\omega_1$
<i>m</i> : <i>I</i> ←	$v_1$
$1/k: C \leftarrow$	$v_1$
b:R ←	$v_1$
$\tau_{in}(t): S_e \rightharpoonup$	$\omega_1$
$\rightarrow TF \rightarrow$	$\omega_1$
$v_1 = r \cdot \omega_1$	$v_1$



 Bond points out of effort source because it adds energy to the system

- Step 3: place a 1-jct
  for each distinct
  velocity
  - Only two distinct
    velocities: v<sub>1</sub> and ω<sub>1</sub>

Element	Velocity
J:1 ←	$\omega_1$
<i>m</i> : <i>I</i> ←	$v_1$
$1/k: C \leftarrow$	$v_1$
b: R ←	$v_1$
$\tau_{in}(t): S_e \rightharpoonup$	$\omega_1$
$\rightarrow TF \rightarrow$	$\omega_1$
$v_1 = r \cdot \omega_1$	$v_1$

$\omega_1$	V <sub>1</sub>
••	••
1	1

16

Step 4: relate
 velocities to each
 other using 0-jct's,
 transformers, and
 gyrators





- Step 5: attach 1-port elements to the appropriate 1-jct's
- Step6: simplify
  No simplifications

Element	Velocity
J:1 ←	$\omega_1$
$m:I \leftarrow$	$v_1$
$1/k: C \leftarrow$	$v_1$
b: R ←	$v_1$
$\tau_{in}(t): S_e \rightharpoonup$	$\omega_1$
$\rightarrow TF \rightarrow$	$\omega_1$
$v_1 = r \cdot \omega_1$	$v_1$



- 18
- Now, imagine that we want to modify the physical model to account for friction of a bearing
- □ No problem, simply add a resistor with flow  $\omega_1$





# 19 Electrical Systems

# Bond Graphs of Electrical Systems

- Similar bond graph synthesis technique presented for electrical systems
  - A duality exists between mechanical and electrical systems
    - Series-connected components constant effort in mechanical systems, constant flow in electrical
    - Parallel-connected components constant flow in mechanical, constant effort in electrical
    - Relations of efforts and flows to the physical topology are swapped
  - Again, starting point is a schematic diagram



21

# Identify all distinct node voltages (efforts) in the circuit and label them on the schematic

- All node voltages are relative to the ground node 0V
  - Just as all mechanical velocities are relative to an inertial reference
- Label an assumed voltage polarity across each component
  - Arbitrary need not be correct
- Label an assumed current direction through each component
  - Assume flow from high to low voltage



22

# List all one- and two-port elements along with their relevant voltages (efforts)

- Map physical components to oneand two-port bond graph components
  - Include component values and TF/GY equations
- Define differential voltages as differences between node voltages
- Include bonds connected to oneports
  - Bonds point in toward all I's, R's, and C's
  - Direction of two-port and source bonds determined by power convention



- Here, current flows out of the current source's assumed positive voltage terminal
  - Assumed to be supplying power
  - Bond points outward, away from the source

Element	Voltage
$i_{in}(t): S_f \rightharpoonup$	$v_a$
$R_1: R \leftarrow$	$v_a$
$L_1: I \leftarrow$	$v_{L1} = v_a - v_b$
$C_1: C \leftarrow$	$v_b$



#### Place a 0-junction for all distinct node voltages

- Voltages in the table from step 2
  - Node voltages
  - Differential voltages
- Label the voltage of each 0junction on the bond graph



V<sub>a</sub>

()

 $V_{L1}$ 

#### Relate voltages (efforts, 0-jct.'s) together using 1junctions, transformers, and gyrators

- Rewrite relative voltage equations from step 2, eliminating negative signs
  - Think of as 'ins' = 'outs'

$$v_a = v_{L1} + v_b$$

- Use 1-junctions to sum node voltages, yielding differential voltages
- Annotate with TF and GY equations



#### Attach one-port elements to appropriate 0-junctions

- Elements attach to the voltage that appears across them
- Bonds point in toward I's, C's, and R's
- Bond direction of sources dependent on power convention
  - As determined in step 2



#### Simplify the bond graph

# Eliminate any *two-port O- or 1-junctions* with *through power*

Replace with a single bond

#### Collapse cascaded 0- and 1-junctions



# Electrical Systems – Bond Graph

#### The complete bond graph

- Understand how bond graph relates to physical system:
  - Series-connected components connected to common 1-junctions
    - Equal current (flow) through components in series



- Parallel connected components connected to common 0junctions
  - Equal voltage across components connected in parallel

- RLC circuit with a transformer
- Step 1: identify and label all distinct, non-zero, absolute voltages on the schematic diagram
  - Indicate assumed voltage polarities and directions of current flow



 <u>Step 2</u>: list all oneand two-port elements and <sup>vin(</sup> their voltages



Element	Voltage
$v_{in}(t): S_e \rightarrow$	$v_{in}$
$R_1: R \leftarrow$	$v_{R1} = v_{in} - v_a$
$L_1: I \leftarrow$	$v_{L1} = v_a - v_b$
$C_1: C \leftarrow$	$v_b$

Element	Voltage
$R_2: R \leftarrow$	$v_{R2} = -v_d$
$R_3: R \leftarrow$	$v_{R3} = v_c - v_e$
$C_2: C \leftarrow$	$v_e$
$\rightarrow TF \rightarrow$	$v_b$
$v_2 = N_2 / N_1 v_b$	$v_2 = v_c - v_d$

#### **Step 3**: place a 0-jct for each distinct voltage listed in the table from step 2



- 32
- <u>Step 4</u>: relate voltages to one another using 1-jct's, transformers, and gyrators



33

**Step 5**: attach 1-port elements to the appropriate 0-jct's



#### Step 6: simplify the bond graph

- Eliminate any 0- or 1-junctions with through power
- **\square** Note that the  $R_2$  1-junction does not have through power



<u>Step 6</u>: simplify the bond graph
 Collapse any cascaded 0- or 1-junctions



36

The final bond graph model:



Think about how this model relates to the circuit

• E.g., series combination of source,  $R_1$ , and  $L_1$  is in parallel with  $C_1$  and the primary side of the transformer, etc.
#### 37

## Augmenting the Bond Graph

Our goal in creating a bond graph system model is to use it to generate a mathematical system model. Next, we'll augment the bond graph to facilitate that task.

## Augmenting the Bond Graph

#### 1) Redraw a computational bond graph

- Number the bonds sequentially
  - Assignment is arbitrary
- Drop the values associated with each element
  - Now,  $C_1$  is the capacitor connected to bond 1,  $R_3$  is the resistor connected to bond 3, etc.
  - Element names on the computational bond graph and physical schematic may not agree

#### 2) Assign causality to each bond

Indicate causality by adding a *causal stroke* to each bond



#### Causality

- Bonds have associated *effort* and *flow* 
  - A component can set *either* the effort on a bond *or* the flow on a bond *not both*
- E.g., if you push a car, you can either determine how hard to push (effort), or you can determine how fast to push (flow)
  - You determine one quantity, and the car determines the other

## The Causal Stroke

- 41
- Causality indicated by the addition of a causal stroke to the end of each bond

$$A \longmapsto B$$
 or  $A \longrightarrow B$ 

- Flow is determined by the element near the causal stroke
  - A determines flow, B determines effort:

A⊢───B

- Effort is determined by the element away from the causal stroke
  - A determines effort, B determines flow:

## Five Types of Causality

- 1) Required
- 2) Restricted
- 3) Integral
- 4) Derivative
- 5) Arbitrary

#### Required causality – Sources

Effort sources can determine effort only

Flow sources can determine flow only

$$S_e \longrightarrow S_f \longmapsto$$

#### **Restricted Causality**

# <u>Restricted causality</u> – two-port elements and n-port junctions

- 0-junctions
- 1-junctions
- Transformers
- Gyrators
- Causality for all connected bonds and elements determined by the causality of one connected bond and element

## **Restricted Causality**

#### **0-junction**

- Constant effort, so only one element can set the effort
   Only one causal stroke will be
  - near the 0-junction

#### 1-junction

- Constant flow, so only one element can set the flow
- All causal strokes, except for one, will be near the 1-junction





#### **Restricted Causality**

#### Transformer

- Effort/flow at one port determines effort/flow at the other
- If TF determines effort at one port, it will determine flow at the other
- Only one causal stroke near the TF



- Effort at one port determines flow at the other and vice-versa
- GY will determine both efforts or both flows
- Both or neither causal strokes near the GY



or

 $A \longmapsto GY \longrightarrow B$ 

## **Integral Causality**

## Integral Causality – independent energy-storage elements (I's and C's)

• A component in integral causality will either:

- Integrate effort to determine flow, or
- Integrate flow to determine effort

#### Independent energy-storage elements:

- Energy storage not directly tied to not algebraically determined by any other energy-storage element
- Elements that are *not independent*:





#### **Integral Causality**

47

🗆 Inertia

$$f = \frac{1}{I}p = \frac{1}{I}\int e \, dt$$

Inertias in integral causality integrate applied effort to determine flow



**Capacitor** 

$$e = \frac{1}{C}q = \frac{1}{C}\int f \, dt$$

 Capacitors in integral causality integrate applied flow to determine effort

$$A \longmapsto C$$

#### **Derivative Causality**

## Derivative Causality – dependent energy-storage elements (I's and C's)

• A component in derivative causality will either:

- Differentiate effort to determine flow, or
- Differentiate flow to determine effort

#### Dependent energy-storage elements:

- Energy storage directly tied to algebraically related to another energy-storage element
- Dependent energy storage elements:





#### **Derivative Causality**

49

🗆 Inertia

$$e = \frac{dp}{dt} = I \frac{df}{dt}$$

 Inertias in derivative causality differentiate applied flow to determine effort

Flow determined by associated inertia in integral causality

**Capacitor** 

$$f = \frac{dq}{dt} = C \frac{de}{dt}$$

- Capacitors in derivative causality differentiate applied effort to determine flow
- Effort determined by associated capacitor in integral causality



#### **Arbitrary Causality**

#### Arbitrary Causality – resistors

Causality assigned to resistors is determined by the rest of the system

Constitutive law for resistors

$$e = f \cdot R$$
 or  $f = \frac{1}{R}e$ 

Resistors can determine effort from an applied flow

$$A \longmapsto R$$

Or, determine flow from an applied effort

$$A \longrightarrow R$$

## <sup>51</sup> Assigning Causality

#### Assigning Causality

- Starting with a simplified bond graph system model, assign causality to each element
  - Causality indicated by the addition of a *causal stroke* to each bond
- Follow a sequential causality assignment procedure
  - Procedure is complete once a causal stroke has been assigned to all bonds in the model

#### Assigning Causality – Procedure

- 53
- 1) Pick a source and assign its required causality
  - a) Follow through with any implicated restricted causal assignments (i.e. at 0-jct., 1-jct., TF, GY), extending these through the bond graph as far as possible
  - b) Repeat for all unassigned sources
- 2) Pick an energy-storage element (*I* or *C*) and assign integral (i.e. preferred) causality
  - a) Follow through with any implicated restricted causal assignments (i.e. at 0-jct., 1-jct., TF, GY), extending these through the bond graph as far as possible
  - b) Repeat for all unassigned energy-storage elements

#### Assigning Causality – Procedure

- 54
- Often, the procedure is complete following step 2
  If not, proceed to step 3:
- Pick an unassigned resistor, and arbitrarily assign causality
  - a) Follow through with any implicated restricted causal assignments (i.e. at 0-jct., 1-jct., TF, GY), extending these through the bond graph as far as possible
  - b) Repeat for all unassigned resistors

#### Causality Assignment – Results

55

Four possible scenarios :

- 1) All energy-storage elements in integral causality
  - All causality assigned following step 2
- 2) Causality assignment completed by arbitrarily assigning causality of some *R*-elements
  - Indicates the presence of *algebraic loops* or *resistor fields*
- Some energy-storage elements forced into derivative causality in step 2
  - This scenario referred to as *derivative causality*
- Combination of 2 and 3, algebraic loops and derivative causality

- Mechanical system from the beginning of the section
- First, generate a computational bond graph
  - Arbitrarily number the bonds
  - Drop the physical values associated with each element



- Assign causality to the computational bond graph
- Step 1: pick a source and assign the required causality
  - $\square$   $S_{e1}$  is an effort source
  - Causal stroke away from the source
  - Can have multiple causal strokes at the 1-jct, so can't go any further



- Pick an unassigned source and assign the required causality
  - Gravitational effort source acting on m<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>e2</sub>
  - Causal stroke at 1-jct side of the bond
  - Still two unassigned bonds at 1-jct
    - Only one will set the flow for the 1-jct, but don't yet know which one
    - Can't proceed any further



- Pick an unassigned source and assign the required causality
  - Gravitational effort source acting on  $m_2$ ,  $S_{e7}$
  - Causal stroke at 1-jct side of the bond
  - Again, can't proceed any further
  - Causality of all sources assigned
  - Proceed to step 2



- **<u>Step 2</u>**: pick an energy-storage element and assign integral causality
  - □ Inertia, I<sub>3</sub>
  - Causal stroke near I<sub>3</sub>
  - *I*<sub>3</sub>sets the flow for its 1-jct
  - Bond 4 cannot determine flow for the 1-jct
  - Causal stroke on bond 4 near the 1-jct
  - Can't proceed any further



- 61
- Pick an unassigned energystorage element and assign integral causality
  - Capacitor C<sub>5</sub>
  - Causal stroke away from C<sub>5</sub>
  - $C_5$  sets the effort for the 0-jct
  - Bond 6 cannot determine effort for the 0-jct
  - Causal stroke on bond 6 near its 1-jct
  - Can't proceed any further



- 62
- Pick an unassigned energystorage element and assign integral causality
  - **D** Capacitor  $C_8$
  - Causal stroke away from C<sub>8</sub>
  - Still don't know what element determines the flow for the v<sub>2</sub> 1-jct
    - Could be  $R_9$  or  $I_{10}$
  - Move on to the next energy storage element



- 63
- Pick an unassigned energystorage element and assign integral causality
  - **\square** Inertia  $I_{10}$
  - **\square** Causal stroke near from  $I_{10}$
  - $I_{10}$  sets the flow for its 1-jct
  - R<sub>9</sub> cannot set the flow for the 1-jct
  - Causal stroke away from R<sub>9</sub>

#### Causality assignment complete following step 2



- 64
- Consider a Wheatstone
  bridge circuit driving a
  capacitive load
  - Generate the bond graph and assign causality



- First, identify and label all distinct node voltages on the schematic
  - Indicate voltage polarities and current directions



# List all one and two-port elements along with their relevant voltages

Element	Voltage
$V_s: S_e \rightarrow$	$v_a$
$R_1: R \leftarrow$	$v_{R1} = v_a - v_b$
$R_2: R \leftarrow$	$v_b$
$R_3: R \leftarrow$	$v_{R3} = v_a - v_c$
$R_4: R \leftarrow$	$v_c$
$C_L: C \leftarrow$	$v_{out} = v_b - v_c$



 Using the list of elements and voltages, generate the bond graph model for the circuit



#### Simplify and create the computational bond graph



- Assign causality to the computational bond graph
- Step 1: pick a source and assign the required causality
  - **\square**  $S_{e1}$  is an effort source
  - Causal stroke away from the source
  - Se1 sets the effort on its attached zero junction
    - Causal strokes on bonds 2 and 4 are near their respective 1-junctions
  - Can't proceed any further
    - Move on to step 2



- **<u>Step 2</u>**: pick an energy-storage element and assign integral causality
  - C<sub>12</sub> is the only energy-storage element
  - Causal stroke away capacitor for integral causality
  - Can have more than one causal stroke near the attached 1-jct
  - Can't proceed any further
    - Move on to step 3



- 70
- **<u>Step 3</u>**: pick a resistor and arbitrarily assign causality
  - **\square** Start with  $R_3$ 
    - Choosing R<sub>3</sub> to determine effort means bond 6 must set the flow on the attached 1-jct
  - Bond 6 sets the effort on its 0-jct
    - Bonds 8 and 9 cannot their causal strokes are away from the 0-jct
  - Bonds 9 and 12 determine effort on their 1-jct
    - Bond 10 must determine flow
  - Bond 10 sets the effort for its 0-jct
    - Causal stroke on bonds 7 and 11 are away from the 0-jct
  - Bond 7 determines effort on its 1-jct
    - Bond 5 must set the flow for that 1-jct



- Causality assignment required arbitrary assignment of resistor causality
  - Algebraic Loops are present

- Spring/mass/damper system
- Really only translational
  - No elements exist in the rotational domain
  - Massless, frictionless lever
- First, label all distinct non-zero velocities and select positive relative velocity reference for springs and dampers (tension, here)



Next, tabulate all one- and two-port elements and their corresponding velocities

Element	Velocity
$m_1: I \leftarrow$	$v_1$
$m_2: I \leftarrow$	$v_2$
$1/k: C \leftarrow$	$v_2$
b:R ←	$v_2$
$F_{in}(t): S_e \rightarrow$	$v_1$
$\rightarrow TF \rightarrow$	$v_1$
$v_2 = b/a \cdot v_1$	$v_2$



72
Generate the bond graph
 As always, annotate with the *TF* equation

Element	Velocity
$m_1: I \leftarrow$	$v_1$
$m_2: I \leftarrow$	$v_2$
$1/k: C \leftarrow$	$v_2$
b:R ←	$v_2$
$F_{in}(t): S_e \rightharpoonup$	$v_1$
$\rightarrow TF \rightarrow$	$v_1$
$v_2 = b/a \cdot v_1$	$v_2$



- Generate a computational bond graph and begin assigning causality
- **Step 1**: pick a source and assign the required causality
  - $S_{e1}$  is an effort source
  - Causal stroke away from the source
  - $S_{e1}$  applies effort to its attached one junction
    - Bonds 2 or 3 could also apply effort to the 1-jct
  - Can't proceed any further
    - Move on to step 2



- <u>Step 2</u>: pick an energy-storage element and assign integral causality
  Inertia element I<sub>2</sub>
  - Causal stroke near I<sub>2</sub>
  - $I_2$  determines the flow on its 1-jct
    - Bond 3 must apply effort to the 1-jct
  - Bond 3 determines flow at the transformer
    - Bond 4 must determine effort at the transformer
    - Bond 4 sets the flow on its 1-jct
    - Bonds 5, 6, and 7 must all apply effort to the 1-jct



# I<sub>6</sub> is in *derivative causality*

 $\blacksquare$   $I_2$  and  $I_6$  ( $m_1$  and  $m_2$ ) are **not independent** 

- The physical model resulted in a bond graph with *derivative causality*
- Presence of derivative causality is due to a modeling decision
  - Lever was assumed to be perfectly rigid



- Let's say we want to model some compliance of the lever
  - Add a torsional spring at the fulcrum
- Now the system includes both translational and rotational components
  - Must include angular velocities,  $\omega_1 \text{ and } \omega_2$
- *m*<sub>1</sub> and *m*<sub>2</sub> are now
  *independent inertias*
  - $\blacksquare$   $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are independent



- Capacitor added to the model to account for lever compliance
- Transformers translate between translational and rotational domains

Element	Velocity
$m_1: I \leftarrow$	$v_1$
$m_2:I \leftarrow$	$v_2$
$1/k: C \leftarrow$	$v_2$
b: R ←	$v_2$
$F_{in}(t): S_e \rightarrow$	$v_1$
$1/k_{\tau}$ : C $\leftarrow$	$\omega_s = \omega_1 - \omega_2$
$\rightarrow TF \rightarrow$	$v_1$
$\omega_1 = 1/a \cdot v_1$	$\omega_1$
$\rightarrow TF \rightarrow$	$\omega_2$
$v_2 = b \cdot \omega_2$	$v_2$



Element	Velocity
$m_1: I \leftarrow$	$v_1$
$m_2: I \leftarrow$	$v_2$
$1/k_{ au}$ : C $\leftarrow$	$\omega_s = \omega_1 - \omega_2$
$1/k: C \leftarrow$	$v_2$
b: R ←	$v_2$
$F_{in}(t): S_e \rightharpoonup$	$v_1$
$\rightarrow TF \rightarrow$	$v_1$
$\omega_1 = 1/a \cdot v_1$	$\omega_1$
$\rightarrow TF \rightarrow$	$\omega_2$
$v_2 = b \cdot \omega_2$	$v_2$

Generate the bond graph

Capacitor added in the rotational domain



ω

- □ Simplify, generate a computational bond graph, and assign causality
- A few more iterations of step 2 (assigning causality to energy-storage elements) are required
- Result now is a bond graph model where all energy storage elements are in *integral causality*

All energy-storage elements are independent

