




Parallel Programming: Moore's Law and Multicore



Oregon State University
Mike Bailey
mjb@cs.oregonstate.edu




This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).




moore's law and multicore.pptx
mjb - March 17, 2024

Von Neumann Architecture: Basically the fundamental pieces of a CPU have not changed since the 1960s







Other elements:



- Clock
- Registers
- Program counter
- Stack pointer



Oregon State University
Computer Graphics

mjb - March 17, 2024





Clock Speed and Power Consumption

1981	IBM PC	5 MHz
1995	Pentium	100 MHz
2002	Pentium 4	3000 MHz (3 GHz)
2007		3800 MHz (3.8 GHz)
2009		4000 MHz (4.0 GHz)




Clock speed has hit a plateau, largely because of power consumption and power dissipation.


$$PowerConsumption \propto ClockSpeed^2$$

is-proportional-to

Yikes!

Once consumed, that power becomes *heat*, which much be *dissipated* somehow. In general, compute systems can remove around 150 W/cm^2 without resorting to exotic cooling methods.





14

MultiCore -- Multiprocessing on a Single Chip

So, to summarize:
Moore's Law of transistor density is still going, but the "Moore's Law" of clock speed has hit a wall. Now what do we do?

We keep packing more and more transistors on a single chip, but don't increase the clock speed. Instead, we increase computational throughput by using those transistors to pack multiple processors onto the same chip.

This is referred to as **multicore**.

Vendors have also reacted by adding SIMD floating-point units on the chip as well. We will get to that later.

Oregon State University Computer Graphics

mp - March 17, 2024

15

MultiCore and Multithreading

Multicore, even without multithreading too, is still a good thing. It can be used, for example, to allow multiple programs on a desktop system to always be executing concurrently.

Multithreading, even without multicore too, is still a good thing. Threads can make it easier to logically have many things going on in your program at a time, and can absorb the dead-time of other threads.

But, the big gain in performance is to use *both* to speed up a *single program*. For this, we need a **combination of both multicore and multithreading**.

Multicore is a very hot topic these days. It would be hard to buy a CPU that doesn't have more than one core. We, as programmers, get to take advantage of that.

We need to be prepared to convert our programs to run on **MultiThreaded Shared Memory Multicore** architectures.

Oregon State University Computer Graphics

mp - March 17, 2024

