Vector Processing
(aka, Single Instruction Multiple Data, or SIMD)
What is Vectorization/SIMD and Why do We Care?

Performance!

Many hardware architectures today, both CPU and GPU, allow you to perform arithmetic operations on multiple array elements simultaneously.

(Thus the label, “Single Instruction Multiple Data”.)

We care about this because many problems, especially scientific and engineering, can be cast this way. Examples include convolution, Fourier transform, power spectrum, autocorrelation, etc.
## SIMD in Intel Chips

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Released</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Width (bits)</th>
<th>Width (FP words)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>MMX</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>SSE</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>AVX</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>AVX-512</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Xeon Phi**

**Note:** one complete cache line!
Also note: a 4x4 transformation matrix!

**If you care:**
- MMX stands for “MultiMedia Extensions”
- SSE stands for “Streaming SIMD Extensions”
- AVX stands for “Advanced Vector Extensions”
Intel has announced **AMX – the Advanced Matrix Extensions.** It looks like this will multiply 16x16 matrices of data types fp16, int16, and int8.

AMX will be appearing starting with the 4th Generation Xeon Scalable Processors.

This is being billed as an “AI Acceleration Engine”. I suspect this is much like the Tensor Cores on Nvidia GPUs.
Intel and AMD CPU architectures support vectorization. The most well-known form is called Streaming SIMD Extension, or **SSE**. It allows four floating point operations to happen simultaneously.

Normally a *scalar* floating point multiplication instruction happens like this:

```
mulss  r1, r0
```

"ATT form":
```
mulss  src, dst
```

---

**Table: Intel and AMD CPU Architectures Vectorization**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Released</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Width (bits)</th>
<th>Width (FP words)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>MMX</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>SSE</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>AVX</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>AVX-512</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The SSE version of the multiplication instruction happens like this:

```
mulps xmm1, xmm0
```

"ATT form":
```
mulps src, dst
```
SSE in the Kitchen? 😊

```
mulss r1, r0
mulps xmm1, xmm0
```
Requirements for a For-Loop to be SIMD'd

• If there are nested loops, the one to vectorize must be the inner one.

• There can be no jumps or branches. “Masked assignments” (an if-statement-controlled assignment) are OK, e.g.,

        if( A[ i ] > 0. )
            B[ i ] = 1.;

• The total number of iterations must be known at runtime when the loop starts

• There can be no inter-loop data dependencies such as:

        a[ i ] = a[ i-1 ] + 1.;

        a[100] = a[99] + 1.;  // this crosses an SSE boundary, so it is ok
        a[101] = a[100] + 1.;  // this is within one SSE operation, so it is not OK

• It helps performance if the elements have contiguous memory addresses.
This all sounds great!
What is the catch?

The catch is that compilers haven’t caught up to producing really efficient SIMD code. So, while there are great ways to express the desire for SIMD in code, you won’t get the full potential speedup … yet.

One way to get a better speedup is to use assembly language. Don’t worry – you wouldn’t need to write it.

Here are two assembly functions:

1. SimdMul: \( C[0:len] = A[0:len] \times B[0:len] \)

2. SimdMulSum: return \( \sum A[0:len] \times B[0:len] \)

Warning – due to the nature of how different compilers and systems handle local variables, these two functions only work on *flip* and *rabbit* using gcc/g++, without any optimization !!!
void SimdMul( float *a, float *b, float *c, int len )
{
    int limit = ( len/SSE_WIDTH ) * SSE_WIDTH;
    __asm
    ( "movq -24(%rbp), %r8\n      movq -32(%rbp), %rcx\n      movq -40(%rbp), %rdx\n"
    )

    for( int i = 0; i < limit; i += SSE_WIDTH )
    {
        __asm
        ( "movups (%r8), %xmm0\n          movups (%rcx), %xmm1\n          mulps %xmm1, %xmm0\n          movups %xmm0, (%rdx)\n"
        )
        addq $16, %r8
        addq $16, %rcx
        addq $16, %rdx
    }

    for( int i = limit; i < len; i++ )
    {
        c[ i ] = a[ i ] * b[ i ];
    }
}

Getting at the full SIMD power until compilers catch up

This only works on flip and rabbit using gcc/g++, without any optimization !!!
float
SimdMulSum( float *a, float *b, int len )
{
    float sum[4] = { 0., 0., 0., 0.};
    int limit = ( len/SSE_WIDTH ) * SSE_WIDTH;

    __asm
    ( //
        "movq -40(%rbp), %r8\n        "movq -48(%rbp), %rcx\n        "leaq -32(%rbp), %rdx\n        "movups (%rdx), %xmm2\n        \n    )

    asm
    ( //
        .att_syntax
        movups (%r8), %xmm0 \n        movups (%rcx), %xmm1 \n        mulps %xmm1, %xmm0 \n        addps %xmm0, %xmm2 \n        addq $16, %r8 \n        addq $16, %rcx
    )

    for( int i = 0; i < limit; i += SSE_WIDTH )
    {
        __asm
        ( //
            .att_syntax
            movups (%r8), %xmm0 \n            movups (%rcx), %xmm1 \n            mulps %xmm1, %xmm0 \n            addps %xmm0, %xmm2
        )
    }

    __asm
    ( //
        "movups %xmm2, (%rdx)\n        addq $16, %r8\n        addq $16, %rcx"
    )

    for( int i = limit; i < len; i++ )
    {
        sum[0] += a[ i ] * b[ i ];
    }

}

This only works on flip and rabbit using gcc/g++, without any optimization !!!
Array*Array Multiplication Speed

![Graph showing Array*Array Multiplication Speed](image)

- **Speed (MFLOPS)** vs. **Array Size (M)**
- Red line: SIMD
- Purple line: Non-SIMD
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Avoiding Assembly Language: SIMD using the OpenMP SIMD Pragma

Array * Array

```c
void SimdMul( float *a, float *b, float *c, int len )
{
    #pragma omp simd
    for( int i = 0; i < len; i++ )
        c[ i ] = a[ i ] * b[ i ];
}
```

Array * Scalar

```c
void SimdMul( float *a, float b, float *c, int len )
{
    #pragma omp simd
    for( int i = 0; i < len; i++ )
        c[ i ] = a[ i ] * b;
}
```
Avoiding Assembly Language: SIMD using the OpenMP SIMD Pragma

```c
#pragma omp simd
for( int i = 0; i < ArraySize; i++ )
{
    c[ i ] = a[ i ] * b[ i ];
}
```
Avoiding Assembly Language: the Intel Intrinsics

Intel has a mechanism to get at the SSE SIMD without resorting to assembly language. These are called **Intrinsics**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intrinsic</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__m128</td>
<td>Declaration for a 128 bit 4-float word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_mm_loadu_ps</td>
<td>Load a __m128 word from memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_mm_storeu_ps</td>
<td>Store a __m128 word into memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_mm_mul_ps</td>
<td>Multiply two __m128 words</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_mm_add_ps</td>
<td>Add two __m128 words</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
#include <xmmintrin.h>
#define SSE_WIDTH 4

void SimdMul( float *a, float *b, float *c, int len )
{
    int limit = ( len/SSE_WIDTH ) * SSE_WIDTH;
    register float *pa = a;
    register float *pb = b;
    register float *pc = c;
    for( int i = 0; i < limit; i += SSE_WIDTH )
    {
        _mm_storeu_ps( pc, _mm_mul_ps( _mm_loadu_ps( pa ), _mm_loadu_ps( pb ) ) );
        pa += SSE_WIDTH;
        pb += SSE_WIDTH;
        pc += SSE_WIDTH;
    }
    for( int i = limit; i < len; i++ )
    {
        c[i] = a[i] * b[i];
    }
}
float
SimdMulSum( float *a, float *b, int len )
{
    float sum[4] = { 0., 0., 0., 0. };
    int limit = ( len/SSE_WIDTH ) * SSE_WIDTH;
    register float *pa = a;
    register float *pb = b;

    __m128  ss = _mm_loadu_ps( &sum[0] );
    for( int i = 0; i < limit; i += SSE_WIDTH )
    {
        ss = _mm_add_ps( ss, _mm_mul_ps( _mm_loadu_ps( pa ), _mm_loadu_ps( pb ) ) );
        pa += SSE_WIDTH;
        pb += SSE_WIDTH;
    }
    _mm_storeu_ps( &sum[0], ss );

    for( int i = limit; i < len; i++ )
    {
        sum[0] += a[ i ] * b[ i ];
    }

}
Intrinsics for SIMD

- $C[i] = A[i] \times B[i]$
- $\text{sum} = \text{sum} + A[i] \times B[i]$
Each Core Has Its Own SIMD Unit! Thus, You Can Combine SIMD and Multicore

```c
#define NUM_ELEMENTS_PER_CORE ( ARRAYSIZE / NUMT )

...omp_set_num_threads( NUMT );
double maxMegaMultsPerSecond = 0.;

double time0 = omp_get_wtime( );
#pragma omp parallel
{
    int thisThread = omp_get_thread_num( );
    int first = thisThread * NUM_ELEMENTS_PER_CORE;
    SimdMul( &A[first], &B[first], &C[first], NUM_ELEMENTS_PER_CORE );
}
double time1 = omp_get_wtime( );
double megaMultsPerSecond = (double)ARRAYSIZE / ( time1 - time0 ) / 1000000.;
...```

The variable `first` is the first array element that `thisThread` is in charge of.

`&A[first]` is the memory address of `thisThread`'s first element.
Combining SIMD with Multicore

Notes:

• Remember that `#pragma omp parallel` creates a thread team and that all threads execute *everything* in the curly braces.

• The variable `thisThread` is the thread number of the thread who is executing this code right now. There will eventually be NUMT threads who get to execute this code. Thus, all the instances of `thisThread` will be between 0 and NUMT-1.

• The variable `first` is the first array element number that `thisThread` will execute.

• Starting the SIMD multiplications at `&A[first]`, `&B[first]`, `&C[first]` gives each thread its very own set of contiguous array elements to work on. The `SimdMul` function depends on this.
Combining SIMD with Multicore

- Speedups are with respect to a for-loop with no multicore or SIMD.
- “cores alone” = a for-loop with “#pragma omp parallel for”.
- “cores + SIMD” = as the code looks on last two slides

Graph showing speedup for different configurations:
1. 1 core alone
2. 2 cores alone
3. 4 cores alone
4. 2 cores + SIMD
5. 4 cores + SIMD

Legend:
- 1 core alone: 1x
- 2 cores alone: 2x
- 4 cores alone: 4x
- 2 cores + SIMD: 8x
- 4 cores + SIMD: 16x

Array Size vs. Speedup graph.
Prefetching is used to place a cache line in memory before it is to be used, thus hiding the latency of fetching from off-chip memory.

There are two key issues here:
1. Issuing the prefetch at the right time
2. Issuing the prefetch at the right distance

**The right time:**
If the prefetch is issued too late, then the memory values won’t be back when the program wants to use them, and the processor has to wait anyway.

If the prefetch is issued too early, then there is a chance that the prefetched values could be evicted from cache by another need before they can be used.

**The right distance:**
The “prefetch distance” is how far ahead the prefetch memory is than the memory we are using right now.

Too far, and the values sit in cache for too long, and possibly get evicted.

Too near, and the program is ready for the values before they have arrived.
The Effects of Prefetching on SIMD Computations

Array Multiplication
Length of Arrays (NUM): 1,000,000
Length per SIMD call (ONETIME): 256

```
for( int i = 0; i < NUM; i += ONETIME )
{
    __builtin_prefetch ( &A[i+PD], WILL_READ_ONLY, LOCALITY_LOW );
    __builtin_prefetch ( &B[i+PD], WILL_READ_ONLY, LOCALITY_LOW );
    __builtin_prefetch ( &C[i+PD], WILL_READ_AND_WRITE, LOCALITY_LOW );
    SimdMul( A, B, C, ONETIME );
}
```
The Effects of Prefetching on SIMD Computations

![Graph showing the effects of prefetching on SIMD computations.](image-url)
- SIMD is an important way to achieve array-operation speed-ups on a CPU
- For now, you might have to write in assembly language to get to all of it
- I suspect that `#pragma omp simd` will catch up
- I suspect that *Intel Intrinsics* will catch up
- Prefetching can really help SIMD