


1


Parallel Programming: Speedups and Amdahl's law



Oregon State
University

Mike Bailey

mjb@cs.oregonstate.edu



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)



Oregon State
University
Computer Graphics

speedups.and.amdahls.law.pptx

mjb - March 14, 2025

1

Definition of Speedup

2

If you are using n cores, your $Speedup_n$ is:

$$Speedup_n = \frac{T_1}{T_n} = \frac{P_n}{P_1}$$

Where:

T_1 is the execution time on **one core** and T_n is the execution time on **n cores**.

P_1 is the performance on **one core** and P_n is the performance on **n cores**.

Note that $Speedup_n$ should be > 1 .

And your $Speedup Efficiency_n$ is:

$$Efficiency_n = \frac{Speedup_n}{n}$$

which could be as high as 1., but probably never will be.



Oregon State
University
Computer Graphics

mjb - March 14, 2025


2

However, Multicore is not a Free Lunch: Amdahl's Law

3

If you buy a system with n cores, you should get n times Speedup (and 100% Speedup Efficiency), right? Wrong!

There is always some fraction of the total operation that is inherently *sequential* and cannot be parallelized no matter what you do. This includes reading data, setting up calculations, control logic, storing results, etc.



If you think of all the operations that a program needs to do as being divided between a fraction that is parallelizable and a fraction that isn't (i.e., is stuck at being sequential), then **Amdahl's Law** says:

$$Speedup_n = \frac{T_1}{T_n} = \frac{1}{\frac{F_{parallel}}{n} + F_{sequential}} = \frac{1}{\frac{F_{parallel}}{n} + (1 - F_{parallel})}$$

 This fraction can be reduced by deploying multiple cores.


This fraction can't.

mjb - March 14, 2025

3

A Visual Explanation of Amdahl's Law

4



The Sequential Portion doesn't go away, and it also doesn't get any smaller. It just gets more and more dominant.


Execution Time

of Cores




mjb - March 14, 2025


4




5



6



7



8

You can also solve for $F_{parallel}$ using Amdahl's Law if you know your speedup and the number of cores

9

Amdahl's law says:

$$S = \frac{T_1}{T_n} = \frac{1}{\frac{F}{n} + (1-F)} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{S} = \frac{F}{n} + (1-F) = 1 + \frac{F-nF}{n} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{S} - 1 = F \frac{(1-n)}{n}$$

Solving for F, the Parallel Fraction:

$$F = \frac{\frac{1}{S} - 1}{\frac{1-n}{n}} = \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot \frac{Speedup - 1}{Speedup}$$

Thus, if you know your Speedup and how many cores you used to get that Speedup, you can compute the Parallel Fraction



mjb - March 14, 2025

9

Amdahl's Law can also give us the Maximum Possible SpeedUp

10

Note that these fractions put an upper bound on how much benefit you will get from adding more cores:

$$\max Speedup = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Speedup = \frac{1}{F_{sequential}} = \frac{1}{1 - F_{parallel}}$$

Fparallel	maxSpeedup
0.00	1.00
0.10	1.11
0.20	1.25
0.30	1.43
0.40	1.67
0.50	2.00
0.60	2.50
0.70	3.33
0.80	5.00
0.90	10.00
0.95	20.00
0.99	100.00



mjb - March 14, 2025

10

A More Optimistic Take on Amdahl's Law: The Gustafson-Baris Observation

11

Gustafson observed that as you increase the number of cores, you have a tendency to attack larger and larger versions of the problem. He also observed that when you use the same parallel program on larger datasets, the parallel fraction, F_p , increases.

Let P be the amount of time spent on the parallel portion of an original task and S spent on the serial portion. Then

$$F_p = \frac{P}{P + S} \quad \text{or} \quad S = \frac{P - PF_p}{F_p}$$

↑ Parallel Time ↑ Serial Time

Without loss of generality, we can set $P=1$ so that, really, S is now a fraction of P . We now have:

$$S = \frac{1 - F_p}{F_p}$$



mjb - March 14, 2025

11

A More Optimistic Take on Amdahl's Law: The Gustafson-Baris Observation

12

We know that if we multiply the amount of data to process by N , then the amount of parallel work becomes NP . Surely the serial work must increase too, but we don't know how much. Let's say it doesn't increase at all, so that we know we are getting an upper bound answer.

In that case, the new parallel fraction is: $F'_p = \frac{P'}{P' + S} = \frac{NP}{NP + S}$

And substituting for $P (=1)$ and for S , we have:

$$F'_p = \frac{N}{N + S} = \frac{N}{N + \frac{1 - F_p}{F_p}}$$



mjb - March 14, 2025

12

A More Optimistic Take on Amdahl's Law: The Gustafson-Baris Observation

13

If we tabulate this, we get a table of F_p' values:

		How Many Times More Data to Process									
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Original F_p	0.1	0.10	0.18	0.25	0.31	0.36	0.40	0.44	0.47	0.50	0.53
	0.2	0.20	0.33	0.43	0.50	0.56	0.60	0.64	0.67	0.69	0.71
	0.3	0.30	0.46	0.56	0.63	0.68	0.72	0.75	0.77	0.79	0.81
	0.4	0.40	0.57	0.67	0.73	0.77	0.80	0.82	0.84	0.86	0.87
	0.5	0.50	0.67	0.75	0.80	0.83	0.86	0.88	0.89	0.90	0.91
	0.6	0.60	0.75	0.82	0.86	0.88	0.90	0.91	0.92	0.93	0.94
	0.7	0.70	0.82	0.88	0.90	0.92	0.93	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96
	0.8	0.80	0.89	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.98
	0.9	0.90	0.95	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99
	1.0	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00




mjb - March 14, 2025

13

A More Optimistic Take on Amdahl's Law: The Gustafson-Baris Observation

14

Or, graphing it:




mjb - March 14, 2025

14

A More Optimistic Take on Amdahl's Law: The Gustafson-Baris Observation

15

We can also turn F_p' into a Maximum Speedup:



15