

Name: Tuan Pham

ID: 4652218

Math 8652: Theory of Probability

Homework #4

① Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space. Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_N$  be  $\sigma$ -subfields of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N$  be  $[0, \infty)$ -valued random variables satisfying

- $\xi_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable  $\forall 1 \leq n \leq N$ ,
- $E|\xi_n| < \infty \quad \forall 1 \leq n \leq N$ .

First, suppose  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$  is a submartingale with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_N)$ . We show that there exist random variables  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_N$  such that

- $0 \leq \eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \dots \leq \eta_N = \xi_N$
- $\xi_n = E(\eta_n | \mathcal{F}_n) \quad \forall 1 \leq n \leq N$ .

Define  $\zeta_n = \frac{\xi_n}{E(\xi_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n)}$  with the convention  $\frac{0}{0} = 0$ . Because  $0 \leq \xi_n \leq E(\xi_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n)$ ,

$0 \leq \zeta_n \leq 1$ . Because  $\xi_n$  and  $E(\xi_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n)$  are  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable,  $\zeta_n$  is also  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable. Then

$$\xi_n = \frac{\xi_n}{E(\xi_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n)} E(\xi_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = \zeta_n E(\xi_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = E(\zeta_n \xi_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n). \quad (1)$$

Take  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$ . We now show that by induction in  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N-m\}$  that

$$\xi_m = E(\zeta_m \zeta_{m+1} \dots \zeta_{m+k-1} \xi_{m+k} | \mathcal{F}_m). \quad (2)$$

For  $k=1$ , (2) is true because of (1). Suppose (2) is true for some  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N-m-1\}$ .

Applying (1) for  $n = m+k$ , we get  $\xi_{m+k} = E(\zeta_{m+k} \xi_{m+k+1} | \mathcal{F}_{m+k})$ . Because

$\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_n$ , the random variables  $\zeta_m, \zeta_{m+1}, \dots, \zeta_{m+k-1}$  are  $\mathcal{F}_{m+k}$ -measurable.

2

Substituting  $\xi_{m+k}$  above into (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_m &= E\left(\zeta_m \zeta_{m+1} \cdots \zeta_{m+k-1} E(\zeta_{m+k} \xi_{m+k+1} | \mathcal{F}_{m+k}) | \mathcal{F}_m\right) \\ &= E\left(E(\zeta_m \zeta_{m+1} \cdots \zeta_{m+k-1} \zeta_{m+k} \xi_{m+k+1} | \mathcal{F}_{m+k}) | \mathcal{F}_m\right) \\ &= E(\zeta_m \zeta_{m+1} \cdots \zeta_{m+k} \xi_{m+k+1} | \mathcal{F}_m). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (2) is also true for  $k+1$ .

In (2), we take  $k=N-m$ . Then  $\xi_m = E(\zeta_m \zeta_{m+1} \cdots \zeta_{N-1} \xi_N | \mathcal{F}_m)$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_N &= \xi_N, \\ \eta_m &= \zeta_m \zeta_{m+1} \cdots \zeta_{N-1} \xi_N \quad \forall 1 \leq m \leq N-1. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_N$  are  $[0, \infty)$ -valued random variables and  $\xi_m = E(\eta_m | \mathcal{F}_m)$ . Also,

$$\eta_m = \zeta_m \eta_{m+1} \leq \eta_{m+1} \quad \forall 1 \leq m \leq N-1.$$

Conversely, suppose there exist random variables  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_N$  such that

- $0 \leq \eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \dots \leq \eta_N = \xi_N,$
- $\xi_n = E(\eta_n | \mathcal{F}_n) \quad \forall 1 \leq n \leq N.$

We show that  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$  is a submartingale with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_N)$ . For

$$1 \leq n < m \leq N,$$

$$E(\xi_m | \mathcal{F}_n) = E(E(\eta_m | \mathcal{F}_m) | \mathcal{F}_n) = E(\eta_m | \mathcal{F}_n) \geq E(\eta_n | \mathcal{F}_n) = \xi_n.$$

(2) Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space. Let  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N)$  be an independent vector of random variables, each having standard normal distribution. Put

$w_N = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N$ . For each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , define

$$\mathbb{P}'(A) = E \mathbb{I}_A \exp\left(w_N - \frac{N}{2}\right).$$

First, we show that  $\mathbb{P}'$  is a probability measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots$  be a sequence of pairwise disjoint events. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}'\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) &= E I_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n} \exp\left(w_N - \frac{N}{2}\right) = E\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{A_n} \exp\left(w_N - \frac{N}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E I_{A_n} \exp\left(w_N - \frac{N}{2}\right) \quad (\text{Monotone Convergence theorem}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}'(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute  $\mathbb{P}'(\Omega)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}'(\Omega) &= E \exp\left(w_N - \frac{N}{2}\right) = E \prod_{k=1}^N \exp\left(X_k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^N E \exp\left(X_k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad (\text{because } X_1, \dots, X_N \text{ are independent}) \\ &= \left\{ E \exp\left(X_1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \right\}^N \quad (\text{because } X_1, \dots, X_N \text{ have the same distribution}) \\ &= \left\{ \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) E \exp(X_1) \right\}^N. \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Because  $X_1$  has standard normal distribution, its probability density function is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp(X_1) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(x) f(x) dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2}\right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{(x-1)^2}{2}\right) dx \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right) dt}_{=1} \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\right). \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

4

Then  $\exp(-\frac{1}{2}) E \exp(X_1) = 1$ . Then (1) gives us  $P(\Omega) = 1$ .

Next, put  $Y_n = X_n - 1$  for all  $1 \leq n \leq N$ . Because  $(X_1, \dots, X_N)$  is an independent vector, so is  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$ . We now show that each  $Y_k$ , when viewed as a random variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P')$ , has standard normal distribution. That is to show

$$P'(Y_k \leq x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(t) dt \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We will only consider  $k=1$ . Other values of  $k$  are treated similarly (but the notations are not as convenient).

$$\begin{aligned} P'(Y_1 \leq x) &= P'(X_1 \leq x+1) = E I_{X_1 \leq x+1} \exp(w_N - \frac{N}{2}) \\ &= E [I_{X_1 \leq x+1} \exp(X_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \exp(X_2 - \frac{1}{2}) \dots \exp(X_N - \frac{1}{2})] \\ &= E [I_{X_1 \leq x+1} \exp(X_1 - \frac{1}{2})] E [\exp(X_2 - \frac{1}{2})] \dots E [\exp(X_N - \frac{1}{2})]. \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

(because  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N$  are independent)

Since  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N$  have the same distribution,

$$E [\exp(X_2 - \frac{1}{2})] = \dots = E [\exp(X_N - \frac{1}{2})] = E [\exp(X_1 - \frac{1}{2})] \stackrel{(2)}{=} 1.$$

Then (3) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} P'(Y_1 \leq x) &= E [I_{X_1 \leq x+1} \exp(X_1 - \frac{1}{2})] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{t \leq x+1} \exp(t - \frac{1}{2}) f(t) dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{x+1} \exp(t - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{t^2}{2}) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x+1} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-1)^2}{2}\right) dt$$

$$\stackrel{s=t-1}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{2}\right) ds$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^x f(s) ds.$$

③ Let  $(\xi, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  be a Gaussian vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Borel function such that  $E\{|f(\xi)|\} < \infty$ . Put  $m = E(\xi | \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  and  $\eta = \xi - m$ .

We show that

- $\eta$  has normal distribution  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ ,
- $E(f(\xi) | \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = E_f(x+\eta) |_{x=m}$  a.s.

Define a map  $F: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F(a, b_1, \dots, b_n) = E[\xi - (a + b_1\xi_1 + \dots + b_n\xi_n)]^2$ .

Because  $F$  is a (multivariate) quadratic polynomial, it attains minimum at

some point  $(a^\circ, b_1^\circ, \dots, b_n^\circ) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Then

$$0 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial a}(a^\circ, b_1^\circ, \dots, b_n^\circ) = -2 E[\xi - (a^\circ + b_1^\circ \xi_1 + \dots + b_n^\circ \xi_n)],$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial b_k}(a^\circ, b_1^\circ, \dots, b_n^\circ) = -2 E \xi_k [\xi - (a^\circ + b_1^\circ \xi_1 + \dots + b_n^\circ \xi_n)] \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Put  $\tilde{m} = a^\circ + b_1^\circ \xi_1 + \dots + b_n^\circ \xi_n$  and  $\tilde{\eta} = \xi - \tilde{m}$ . Then

$$\begin{cases} E \tilde{\eta} = 0, \\ E \tilde{\eta} \xi_k = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Because  $(\xi, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  is a normally distributed vector,  $\xi - b_1^\circ \xi_1 - \dots - b_n^\circ \xi_n$  is a normally distributed random variable. Then  $\tilde{\eta}$  is also normally distributed.

6

$$E[(\tilde{\eta} - E\tilde{\eta})(\xi_k - E\xi_k)] = E\tilde{\eta}(\xi_k - E\xi_k) = E\tilde{\eta}\xi_k - E\tilde{\eta}E\xi_k = E\tilde{\eta}\xi_k - E\tilde{\eta}E\xi_k = 0.$$

Thus,  $\tilde{\eta}$  and  $\xi_k$  are uncorrelated. Put  $\zeta = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ . We show that  $(\tilde{\eta}, \zeta)$  is an independent pair. Because  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  is a normally distributed vector, so is  $(\tilde{\eta}, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ . Theorem 20, Fristedt-Gray page 237, gives us the formula of the characteristic function of  $(\tilde{\eta}, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ . For  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\beta_{(\tilde{\eta}, \zeta)}(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) = \exp\left(it_0\tilde{\eta} + i\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k t_k - \frac{1}{2}(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n)S(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n)^T\right), \quad (1)$$

where  $S$  is the covariance matrix of  $(\tilde{\eta}, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ . Because  $\text{Cov}(\tilde{\eta}, \xi_k) = 0$ ,  $S$  is of block form

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & \\ & \boxed{\text{Cov}(\zeta)} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}\tilde{\eta}$ . Then (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{(\tilde{\eta}, \zeta)}(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) &= \exp\left(it_0\tilde{\eta} + i\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k t_k - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}(t_1, \dots, t_n)\text{Cov}(\zeta)(t_1, \dots, t_n)^T\right) \\ &= \exp\left(it_0\tilde{\eta} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t_0^2\right) \exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k t_k - \frac{1}{2}(t_1, \dots, t_n)\text{Cov}(\zeta)(t_1, \dots, t_n)^T\right) \\ &= \beta_{\tilde{\eta}}(t_0) \beta_{\zeta}(t_1, \dots, t_n). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(\eta', \zeta')$  be an independent pair such that  $\eta'$  has the same distribution as  $\eta$ , and  $\zeta'$  has the same distribution as  $\zeta$ . Then

$$\beta_{(\eta', \zeta')}(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) = \beta_{\eta'}(t_0) \beta_{\zeta'}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \beta_{\tilde{\eta}}(t_0) \beta_{\zeta}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \beta_{(\tilde{\eta}, \zeta)}(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n).$$

Thus,  $(\eta', \zeta')$  and  $(\tilde{\eta}, \zeta)$  have the same distribution. Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}((\tilde{\eta}, \zeta) \in A \times B) &= \mathbb{P}((\eta', \zeta') \in A \times B) = \mathbb{P}(\eta' \in A) \mathbb{P}(\zeta' \in B) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\eta} \in A) \mathbb{P}(\zeta \in B). \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $\tilde{\eta}$  and  $\zeta$  are independent.

Put  $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(\zeta) = \sigma(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ . We have  $E(\tilde{\eta} | \mathcal{G}) = E(\tilde{\eta}) = 0$ ;  $E(\tilde{m} | \mathcal{G}) = \tilde{m}$  because  $\tilde{m}$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable.

$$E(\xi | \mathcal{G}) = E(\tilde{\eta} + \tilde{m} | \mathcal{G}) = E(\tilde{\eta} | \mathcal{G}) + E(\tilde{m} | \mathcal{G}) = \tilde{m}.$$

Hence,  $\tilde{m} = m$ . Then  $\eta = \xi - m = \xi - \tilde{m} = \tilde{\eta}$ . Then  $\eta$  has distribution  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

Next, we show that  $E(f(\xi) | \mathcal{G}) = E f(x + \eta) |_{x=m}$ . Define a function  $h: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1 + x_2)$ . This is a Borel function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $f(\xi) = f(m + \eta) = h(m, \eta)$  and  $E|h(m, \eta)| = E|f(\xi)| < \infty$ . Write  $h = h^+ - h^-$ .

By a theorem in lecture 02/18/2015,

$$E(h^+(m, \eta) | \mathcal{G}) = E h^+(x, \eta) |_{x=m},$$

$$E(h^-(m, \eta) | \mathcal{G}) = E h^-(x, \eta) |_{x=m}.$$

Subtracting the latter identity from the former, we get

$$E(h(m, \eta) | \mathcal{G}) = E h(x, \eta) |_{x=m}.$$

In other words,  $E(f(\xi) | \mathcal{G}) = E f(x + \eta) |_{x=m}$ .

Consider the case  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . That is the case  $E\eta^2 > 0$ , i.e.  $\xi$  is not  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable. Since  $\eta$  has distribution  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E(f(\xi)|\mathcal{G}) &= E_f(x+\eta)|_{x=m} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x+t) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right) dt \Big|_{x=m} \\ &\stackrel{s=x+t}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(s-x)^2}{2}\right) ds \Big|_{x=m} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(s-m)^2}{2}\right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

④ Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space,  $X$  be a real-valued random variable with  $EX^2 < \infty$ , and  $\mathcal{G}$  be a  $\sigma$ -subfield of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We show that

$$EX^2 = E[E(X|\mathcal{G})]^2 + E[X - E(X|\mathcal{G})]^2.$$

We know that  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Put

$Y = E(X|\mathcal{G}) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ . We show that  $Y$  is the orthogonal projection of

$X$  on  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ . For each  $Z \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ ,

$$(Y, Z) = E(YZ) = E(Z E(X|\mathcal{G})) = E(E(ZX|\mathcal{G})) = E(ZX) = (X, Z).$$

Thus,  $(X - Y, Z) = 0 \quad \forall Z \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ .

Therefore,  $Y$  is the orthogonal projection of  $X$  on  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ . Then

$$(X, X) = (X - Y, X - Y) + 2(X, Y) - (Y, Y) = (X - Y, X - Y) + 2 \underbrace{(X - Y, Y)}_{=0} + (Y, Y).$$

Thus,  $EX^2 = E(X - Y)^2 + EY^2$

$$= E[X - E(X|\mathcal{G})]^2 + E[E(X|\mathcal{G})]^2.$$