

One-time pad security:

OTP:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mathcal{K} = \{0, 1\}^\lambda & \text{KeyGen:} & \text{Enc}(k, m): & \text{Dec}(k, c): \\ \mathcal{M} = \{0, 1\}^\lambda & k \leftarrow \mathcal{K} & \text{return } k \oplus m & \text{return } k \oplus c \\ C = \{0, 1\}^\lambda & \text{return } k & & \end{array}$$

Claim:

OTP satisfies one-time secrecy. That is, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$.

We will **use** the fact that OTP ciphertexts are uniformly distributed:

$$\frac{\text{CTXT}(m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):}{\begin{array}{l} k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda \\ \text{return } k \oplus m \end{array}} \equiv \frac{\text{CTXT}(m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):}{\begin{array}{l} c \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda \\ \text{return } c \end{array}}$$



Overview:

Want to show:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}} & \mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}} \\ \hline \text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \text{OTP.M}): & \text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \text{OTP.M}): \\ \hline k \leftarrow \text{OTP.KeyGen} & k \leftarrow \text{OTP.KeyGen} \\ c := \text{OTP.Enc}(k, m_L) & c := \text{OTP.Enc}(k, m_R) \\ \text{return } c & \text{return } c \\ \hline \end{array} \equiv$$

Standard hybrid technique:

- ▶ Starting with $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$, make a sequence of small modifications
- ▶ Each modification has no effect on calling program / adversary
- ▶ Sequence of modifications ends with $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$

Security proof

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$

QUERY($m_L, m_R \in \text{OTP}.\mathcal{M}$):

$$\begin{aligned} k &\leftarrow \text{OTP.KeyGen} \\ c &:= \text{OTP.Enc}(k, m_L) \\ \text{return } c \end{aligned}$$

Starting point is $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$.

Security proof

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$

$\text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \text{OTP}.\mathcal{M}):$

$k \leftarrow \text{OTP.KeyGen}$

$c := \text{OTP.Enc}(k, m_L)$

return c

Starting point is $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$. Fill in details of OTP

Security proof

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$

QUERY($m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$):

 $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ $c := k \oplus m_L$

return c

Starting point is $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$. Fill in details of OTP

Security proof

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$

QUERY($m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$):

 $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ $c := k \oplus m_L$

return c

Starting point is $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$. Fill in details of OTP

Security proof

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$

QUERY($m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$):

$k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$

$c := k \oplus m_L$

return c

These statements appear also in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$.

Security proof



$\text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):$

$c := \text{CTXT}(m_L)$

return c

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$

$\text{CTXT}(m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):$

$k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$

return $k \oplus m$

Factor out so that $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$ appears.

Security proof



$\text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):$
 $c := \text{CTXT}(m_L)$
return c

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$
 $\text{CTXT}(m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):$
 $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$
return $k \oplus m$

Factor out so that $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$ appears.

Security proof



$\text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):$
 $c := \text{CTXT}(m_L)$
return c

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$
 $\text{CTXT}(m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):$
 $c \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$
return c

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$ can be replaced with $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$.

Security proof



$\text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):$
 $c := \text{CTXT}(m_L)$
return c

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$
 $\text{CTXT}(m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):$
 $c \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$
return c

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$ can be replaced with $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$.

Security proof



QUERY($m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$):
 $c := \text{CTXT}(m_L)$
return c

\diamond $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$
CTXT $m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$:
 $c \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$
return c

Argument to **CTXT** is never used!

Security proof



$\text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):$
 $c := \text{CTXT}(m_R)$
return c

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$
 $\text{CTXT}(m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):$
 $c \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$
return c

Unused argument can be changed to m_R .

Security proof


$$\frac{\text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \{0,1\}^\lambda):}{\begin{aligned} c &:= \text{CTXT}(m_R) \\ \text{return } c \end{aligned}}$$
$$\diamond \quad \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}}{\frac{\text{CTXT}(m \in \{0,1\}^\lambda):}{\begin{aligned} c &\leftarrow \{0,1\}^\lambda \\ \text{return } c \end{aligned}}}$$

Unused argument can be changed to m_R .

Security proof


$$\frac{\text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \{0,1\}^\lambda):}{\begin{aligned} c &:= \text{CTXT}(m_R) \\ \text{return } c \end{aligned}}$$
$$\diamond \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}} \\ \frac{\text{CTXT}(m \in \{0,1\}^\lambda):}{\begin{aligned} k &\leftarrow \{0,1\}^\lambda \\ \text{return } k \oplus m \end{aligned}} \end{array}$$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$ can be replaced with $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$.

Security proof



$\text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):$
 $c := \text{CTXT}(m_R)$
return c

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$
 $\text{CTXT}(m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda):$
 $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$
return $k \oplus m$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-rand}}$ can be replaced with $\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$.

Security proof



QUERY($m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$):
 $c := \text{CTXT}(m_R)$
return c

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{otp-real}}$
CTXT($m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$):
 $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$
return $k \oplus m$

Inline the subroutine call.

Security proof



```
QUERY( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
-  $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$   
   $c := k \oplus m_R$   
  return  $c$ 
```

Inline the subroutine call.

Security proof


$$\begin{array}{c} \text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda) : \\ \hline k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda \\ c := k \oplus m_R \\ \text{return } c \end{array}$$

Inline the subroutine call.

Security proof



```
QUERY( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
-----  
 $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$   
 $c := k \oplus m_R$   
return  $c$ 
```

This happens to be $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$.

Security proof



```
QUERY( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):  
-  $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$     _____  
   $c := k \oplus m_R$   
  return  $c$ 
```

This happens to be $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$.

Security proof

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$

$\text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \text{OTP}.\mathcal{M}):$

$k \leftarrow \text{OTP.KeyGen}$

$c := \text{OTP.Enc}(k, m_R)$

return c

This happens to be $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$.

Security proof

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$

QUERY($m_L, m_R \in \text{OTP}.\mathcal{M}$):

 $k \leftarrow \text{OTP.KeyGen}$
 $c := \text{OTP.Enc}(k, m_R)$
return c

This happens to be $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$.

Summary

We showed:

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-L}}^{\text{OTP}}$	$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-R}}^{\text{OTP}}$
$\frac{\text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \text{OTP}.\mathcal{M}):}{k \leftarrow \text{OTP.KeyGen}} \equiv \dots \equiv \frac{\text{QUERY}(m_L, m_R \in \text{OTP}.\mathcal{M}):}{k \leftarrow \text{OTP.KeyGen}}$ $c := \text{OTP.Enc}(k, m_L)$ return c	$c := \text{OTP.Enc}(k, m_R)$ return c

So OTP satisfies one-time secrecy.