

From a Set of Shapes to Object Discovery

Nadia Payet and Sinisa Todorovic

Oregon State University,
Kelley Engineering Center, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA
payetn@onid.orst.edu, sinisa@eecs.oregonstate.edu

1 Learning Model Parameters: Derivation of Eq. (6)

As explained in Sec 4 under Learning, we estimate the model parameters by maximizing the acceptance rate of moving from state A to state B , defined as

$$\alpha(A \rightarrow B) = \min \left(1, \frac{q(B \rightarrow A)p(\mathcal{M} = B|G)}{q(A \rightarrow B)p(\mathcal{M} = A|G)} \right).$$

From (5) in the paper, and $(1 - \rho_e^+) \leq 1$ and $(1 - \rho_e^-) \leq 1$ by definition, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q(B \rightarrow A)}{q(A \rightarrow B)} &= \frac{\prod_{e \in \text{Cut}_B^+} (1 - \rho_e^+) \prod_{e \in \text{Cut}_B^-} (1 - \rho_e^-)}{\prod_{e \in \text{Cut}_A^+} (1 - \rho_e^+) \prod_{e \in \text{Cut}_A^-} (1 - \rho_e^-)} \\ &\geq \prod_{e \in \text{Cut}_B^+} (1 - \rho_e^+) \prod_{e \in \text{Cut}_B^-} (1 - \rho_e^-). \end{aligned}$$

As explained in the paper, the edges in Cut_B^+ and Cut_B^- are probabilistically cut. This means that their associated likelihoods ρ_e^+ and ρ_e^- are relatively small. Therefore, for most edges in Cut_B^+ and Cut_B^- it holds that the probability of being cut is greater than the probability of being sampled, $1 - \rho_e^+ \geq \rho_e^+$ and $1 - \rho_e^- \geq \rho_e^-$. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{q(B \rightarrow A)}{q(A \rightarrow B)} \geq \prod_{e \in \text{Cut}_B^+} (1 - \rho_e^+) \prod_{e \in \text{Cut}_B^-} (1 - \rho_e^-) \geq \prod_{e \in \text{Cut}_B^+} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \text{Cut}_B^-} \rho_e^-.$$

Next, from (2) and (3) in the paper, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p(\mathcal{M} = B|G)}{p(\mathcal{M} = A|G)} &= \frac{p(\mathcal{M} = B)p(G|\mathcal{M} = B)}{p(\mathcal{M} = A)p(G|\mathcal{M} = A)}, \\ &= \frac{e^{-w_K K_B} e^{-w_N N_B} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^+} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^-} \rho_e^- \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^0} (1 - \rho_e^+) \mathbb{1}_{l_i \neq l_j} \cdot (1 - \rho_e^-) \mathbb{1}_{l_i = l_j}}{e^{-w_K K_A} e^{-w_N N_A} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^+} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^-} \rho_e^- \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^0} (1 - \rho_e^+) \mathbb{1}_{l_i \neq l_j} \cdot (1 - \rho_e^-) \mathbb{1}_{l_i = l_j}}, \\ &\geq \frac{e^{-w_K K_B} e^{-w_N N_B} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^+} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^-} \rho_e^-}{e^{-w_K K_A} e^{-w_N N_A} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^+} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^-} \rho_e^-} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^0} (1 - \rho_e^+) \mathbb{1}_{l_i \neq l_j} \cdot (1 - \rho_e^-) \mathbb{1}_{l_i = l_j}. \end{aligned}$$

As explained in the paper, the edges in \mathbb{E}_B^0 are probabilistically cut. This means that their associated likelihoods ρ_e^+ and ρ_e^- are relatively small. Therefore, for most edges in \mathbb{E}_B^0 it holds that $1 - \rho_e^+ \geq \rho_e^+$ and $1 - \rho_e^- \geq \rho_e^-$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p(\mathcal{M} = B|G)}{p(\mathcal{M} = A|G)} &\geq \frac{e^{-w_K K_B} e^{-w_N N_B} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^+} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^-} \rho_e^-}{e^{-w_K K_A} e^{-w_N N_A} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^+} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^-} \rho_e^-} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^0} \rho_e^+ \mathbb{1}_{l_i \neq l_j} \cdot \rho_e^- \mathbb{1}_{l_i = l_j}, \\ &= \frac{e^{-w_K K_B} e^{-w_N N_B} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^+} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^-} \rho_e^-}{e^{-w_K K_A} e^{-w_N N_A} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^+} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^-} \rho_e^-} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^{0-}} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^{0+}} \rho_e^-. \end{aligned}$$

From the above steps we obtain

$$\frac{q(B \rightarrow A) p(\mathcal{M} = B|G)}{q(A \rightarrow B) p(\mathcal{M} = A|G)} \geq \frac{e^{-w_K K_B} e^{-w_N N_B} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^+} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \text{Cut}_B^+} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^{0-}} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^-} \rho_e^- \prod_{e \in \text{Cut}_B^-} \rho_e^- \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_B^{0+}} \rho_e^-}{e^{-w_K K_A} e^{-w_N N_A} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^+} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^-} \rho_e^-}.$$

Let $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B^+$ denote all edges in the above equation whose likelihood is ρ_+ , $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B^+ = \mathbb{E}_B^+ \cup \text{Cut}_B^+ \cup \mathbb{E}_B^{0-}$. Also, let $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B^-$ denote all edges in the above equation whose likelihood is ρ_- , $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B^- = \mathbb{E}_B^- \cup \text{Cut}_B^- \cup \mathbb{E}_B^{0+}$. Then, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q(B \rightarrow A) p(\mathcal{M} = B|G)}{q(A \rightarrow B) p(\mathcal{M} = A|G)} &\geq \frac{e^{-w_K K_B} e^{-w_N N_B} \prod_{e \in \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B^+} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B^-} \rho_e^-}{e^{-w_K K_A} e^{-w_N N_A} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^+} \rho_e^+ \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^-} \rho_e^-}, \\ &= \frac{e^{-w_K K_B} e^{-w_N N_B} \prod_{e \in \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B^+} e^{-w_\delta^+ \delta_e} \prod_{e \in \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B^-} e^{-w_\delta^- (1 - \delta_e)}}{e^{-w_K K_A} e^{-w_N N_A} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^+} e^{-w_\delta^+ \delta_e} \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^-} e^{-w_\delta^- (1 - \delta_e)}} \end{aligned}$$

By taking the logarithm of the above equation, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(\frac{q(B \rightarrow A) P(\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}|G)}{q(A \rightarrow B) P(\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}|G)} \right) &\geq w_K (K_A - K_B) + w_N (N_A - N_B) \\ &\quad + \sum_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^+} w_\delta^+ \delta_e - \sum_{e \in \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B^+} w_\delta^+ \delta_e \\ &\quad + \sum_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^-} w_\delta^- (1 - \delta_e) - \sum_{e \in \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B^-} w_\delta^- (1 - \delta_e), \\ &= \phi^\top \mathbf{w}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{w} = [w_K, w_N, w_\delta^+, w_\delta^-]^T$ and $\phi = \begin{bmatrix} K_A - K_B \\ N_A - N_B \\ \sum_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^+} \delta_e - \sum_{e \in \hat{\mathbb{E}}_B^+} \delta_e \\ \sum_{e \in \mathbb{E}_A^+} (1 - \delta_e) - \sum_{e \in \hat{\mathbb{E}}_B^+} (1 - \delta_e) \end{bmatrix}$

This is equivalent to Eq. (6) in the paper, which concludes the proof.